



Keltic Petrochemicals Inc.
Proposed LNG and Petrochemical Plant
Facilities - Goldboro, Nova Scotia

Environmental Impact Assessment
Final Draft



603-5151 George Street, Halifax, NS B3J 1M5
Tel: (902) 422-4557 Fax: (902) 422-5980 Email: questions@kelticpetrochemicals.ca

**Petrochemicals and
Liquefied Natural Gas Facility
Environmental Assessment
Goldboro, Nova Scotia**

FINAL REPORT

Submitted to:

Keltic Petro Chemicals Inc.
5151 George Street, Suite 523,
Halifax, Nova Scotia
B3J 1M5

Submitted by:

AMEC Earth & Environmental,
A Division of AMEC Americas Limited
32 Troop Avenue
Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
B3B 1Z1

July 2006

File No. TV61029

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 THE PROJECT	1-1
1.2 THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT.....	1-1
1.2.1 Purpose of the EA Report	1-4
1.2.2 Bounds of the EA	1-5
1.2.3 Scope of the EA	1-5
1.2.4 Report Organization	1-5
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	2-1
2.1 THE PROPONENT	2-2
2.1.1 Project Participants	2-5
2.1.1.1 Maple LNG.....	2-5
2.1.1.2 Stone & Webster.....	2-5
2.1.1.3 The Shaw Group Inc.....	2-5
2.1.1.4 EPC Phase	2-7
2.1.1.5 Operations and Maintenance.....	2-7
2.2 PROJECT LOCATION	2-7
2.3 KEY PROJECT COMPONENTS	2-7
2.3.1 LNG Facility, Marine Terminal and Components.....	2-15
2.3.1.1 Process Overview	2-15
2.3.1.2 LNG Unloading	2-19
2.3.1.3 Design Specifications.....	2-19
2.3.2 Petrochemical Facilities and Marginal Wharf	2-20
2.3.2.1 Definition of Plant Complex.....	2-20
2.3.2.2 Marginal Wharf.....	2-22
2.3.2.3 Storage Shipping and Logistics Area	2-22
2.3.2.4 Water and Steam System	2-23
2.3.2.5 Wastewater Management	2-26
2.3.2.6 Infrastructure and Support Systems	2-27
2.3.3 Cogeneration Plant.....	2-27
2.3.4 Utilities and Common Site Support Facilities.....	2-28
2.3.4.1 Plant Water Supply	2-28
2.3.4.2 Sanitary Wastewater.....	2-32
2.3.4.3 Storm-water	2-32
2.3.4.4 Central Administration and Maintenance Facilities	2-32
2.3.4.5 Emergency Medical Facilities	2-32
2.3.4.6 Fire Station and Helipad	2-33
2.3.5 Applicable Project Design Codes, Standards and Regulations.....	2-33
2.4 CONSTRUCTION	2-34
2.4.1 General.....	2-34
2.4.1.1 Access (road).....	2-35
2.4.1.2 Work Camp	2-38

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

		Page
2.4.1.3	Material Storage.....	2-38
2.4.1.4	Staging Areas	2-39
2.4.1.5	Temporary Site Services.....	2-39
2.4.1.6	Road Upgrades.....	2-39
2.4.1.7	Upgrading of Existing Wharf for Temporary Use	2-43
2.4.1.8	Environmental Management Planning	2-43
2.4.1.9	Monitoring	2-44
2.4.1.10	Health and Safety	2-44
2.4.1.11	Training	2-44
2.4.1.12	Public Information	2-44
2.4.2	Construction of LNG Facility Including Marine Terminal	2-45
2.4.2.1	Construction Envelope.....	2-45
2.4.2.2	Site Preparation/Clearing/Grubbing	2-45
2.4.2.3	Fencing	2-45
2.4.2.4	Lighting	2-45
2.4.2.5	On-shore Cut and Fill, Blasting.....	2-46
2.4.2.6	Foundations	2-46
2.4.2.7	LNG Terminal, In-water Works (dredging, pipe/sheet-pile driving, fill).....	2-46
2.4.2.8	Shoreline Stabilization	2-46
2.4.2.9	Equipment Installation.....	2-47
2.4.2.10	Transportation of Construction Material (mode; quantities; source/destination).....	2-47
2.4.2.11	Management of Surface Water (creek diversion; treatment; discharge points).....	2-47
2.4.2.12	Management of Waste Water (treatment; discharge points)	2-47
2.4.2.13	Management of Contaminated Soils (excavation & disposal; isolation).....	2-48
2.4.2.14	Site Rehabilitation (temporarily used sites).....	2-48
2.4.2.15	Schedule	2-48
2.4.2.16	Labour Requirements	2-48
2.4.2.17	Malfunctions and Accidents (spills; truck accidents).....	2-49
2.4.3	Construction of Petrochemical Plant Including Marginal Wharf.....	2-49
2.4.3.1	Construction Envelope.....	2-49
2.4.3.2	Site Preparation/Clearing/Grubbing	2-49
2.4.3.3	Disposal of Excess Fill	2-50
2.4.3.4	Fencing	2-50
2.4.3.5	Lighting	2-50
2.4.3.6	Cut and Fill, Blasting.....	2-50
2.4.3.7	Foundations	2-51
2.4.3.8	Marginal Wharf.....	2-51
2.4.3.9	Shoreline Stabilization	2-52
2.4.3.10	Equipment Installation.....	2-52
2.4.3.11	Transportation of Construction Material (mode; quantities; source/destination).....	2-52

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

		Page
2.4.3.12	Management of Surface Water (creek diversion; treatment; discharge points).....	2-52
2.4.3.13	Management of Waste Water (treatment; discharge points)	2-54
2.4.3.14	Management of Contaminated Soils.....	2-54
2.4.3.15	Site Rehabilitation (temporarily used sites).....	2-54
2.4.3.16	Schedule.....	2-55
2.4.3.17	Commissioning, Start-Up and Training.....	2-55
2.4.3.18	Labour Requirements.....	2-55
2.4.3.19	Malfunctions and Accidents (spills; truck accidents).....	2-56
2.4.4	Cogeneration Power Plant.....	2-57
2.4.4.1	Construction Envelope.....	2-57
2.4.4.2	Site Preparation /Clearing/Grubbing.....	2-58
2.4.4.3	Fencing.....	2-58
2.4.4.4	Lighting.....	2-58
2.4.4.5	On-shore Cut and Fill, Blasting.....	2-58
2.4.4.6	Foundations.....	2-59
2.4.4.7	Transportation of Construction Material (mode; quantities; source/destination).....	2-59
2.4.4.8	Management of Surface Water (treatment; discharge points if applicable).....	2-59
2.4.4.9	Management of Waste Water (treatment; discharge points if applicable).....	2-59
2.4.4.10	Management of Contaminated Soils.....	2-60
2.4.4.11	Site Rehabilitation (temporarily used sites).....	2-60
2.4.4.12	Schedule.....	2-60
2.4.4.13	Labour Requirements.....	2-61
2.4.4.14	Malfunctions and Accidents (spills; truck accidents).....	2-61
2.4.5	Utilities and Common Site Support (incl. Meadow Lake Water Supply).....	2-61
2.4.5.1	Construction Envelope.....	2-62
2.4.5.2	Site Preparation/Clearing/Grubbing.....	2-63
2.4.5.3	Fencing.....	2-63
2.4.5.4	Lighting.....	2-63
2.4.5.5	On-shore Cut and Fill, Blasting (if applicable).....	2-63
2.4.5.6	Foundations.....	2-64
2.4.5.7	Shoreline Stabilization (if applicable).....	2-64
2.4.5.8	Meadow Lake Dam Construction.....	2-64
2.4.5.9	Transportation of Construction Material (mode; quantities; source/destination).....	2-64
2.4.5.10	Management of Surface Water (creek diversion; treatment; discharge points).....	2-65
2.4.5.11	Management of Waste Water (treatment; discharge points)	2-65
2.4.5.12	Management of Contaminated Soils (excavation & disposal; isolation).....	2-65
2.4.5.13	Site Rehabilitation (temporarily used sites).....	2-66
2.4.5.14	Schedule.....	2-66

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
2.4.5.15	Labour Requirements2-66
2.4.5.16	Malfunctions and Accidents (spills; truck accidents).....2-66
2.5	PROJECT OPERATION2-67
2.5.1	Facility Overview2-67
2.5.2	Component Integration2-67
2.5.2.1	Energy Efficiency2-67
2.5.2.2	Component Integration2-68
2.5.3	Workforce2-71
2.5.3.1	LNG Facility2-71
2.5.3.2	Cogeneration Plant2-71
2.5.3.3	Petrochemical Facility2-71
2.5.4	Environmental Management Plan2-71
2.5.5	Monitoring.....2-72
2.5.6	Health and Safety2-72
2.5.7	Training2-72
2.5.8	Public Information/Community Liaison2-73
2.5.9	LNG Facility.....2-73
2.5.9.1	Primary Components2-73
2.5.9.2	Process Description2-73
2.5.9.3	Marine Terminal2-74
2.5.9.4	LNG Unloading, Extraction, Transfer, Storage2-79
2.5.9.5	LNG Regasification and Send-Out.....2-83
2.5.9.6	Air Emissions and Controls.....2-90
2.5.9.7	Water Supply2-90
2.5.9.8	Storm and Waste Water Management System.....2-91
2.5.9.9	Infrastructure and Support Systems2-91
2.5.9.10	Other Utilities and Common Support Facilities2-92
2.5.9.11	Malfunctions and Accidents2-92
2.5.10	Petrochemical Facility2-96
2.5.10.1	Primary Components2-96
2.5.10.2	Process Description2-96
2.5.10.3	Material Import/Product Export2-114
2.5.10.4	Ethylene Unit.....2-115
2.5.10.5	Feedstock Preparation.....2-116
2.5.10.6	Cracking Furnaces.....2-116
2.5.10.7	Linear Low Density and High Density Polyethylene – (Univation).....2-123
2.5.10.8	Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) – (ExxonMobil)2-125
2.5.10.9	Polypropylene2-129
2.5.10.10	Comonomers2-132
2.5.10.11	Intermediate Storage2-132
2.5.10.12	Flare and Thermal Oxidizer2-133
2.5.10.13	Sea Water Cooling System.....2-134
2.5.10.14	Marginal Wharf.....2-136
2.5.10.15	Air Emissions, Controls.....2-138

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
2.5.10.16	Water and Steam Supply 2-141
2.5.10.17	Cooling System 2-142
2.5.10.18	Storm and Wastewater Management 2-143
2.5.10.19	Waste Management (Incineration)..... 2-143
2.5.10.20	Product and Material Storage and Handling 2-144
2.5.10.21	Malfunctions and Accidents 2-145
2.5.11	CoGeneration Power Plant..... 2-146
2.5.11.1	Primary Components Cogeneration..... 2-146
2.5.11.2	Process Description 2-146
2.5.11.3	Utility Interconnections and Offsite Systems..... 2-148
2.5.11.4	Air Emissions/Emission Control Systems 2-149
2.5.11.5	Water and Supply 2-149
2.5.11.6	Storm and Waste Water Management..... 2-149
2.5.11.7	Chemical and Petroleum Storage and Handling..... 2-150
2.5.11.8	Malfunctions and Accidents 2-150
2.5.12	Utilities and Common Site Support 2-150
2.5.12.1	Service/Freshwater Supply (Meadow Lake) 2-151
2.5.12.2	Waste Management..... 2-153
2.5.12.3	Other Utilities and Support Systems 2-154
2.6	DECOMMISSIONING AND RECLAMATION..... 2-156
3.0	REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT..... 1
3.1	PROVINCIAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCESS 1
3.2	FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCESS..... 2
3.2.1	Comprehensive Study 3
3.2.2	Screenings 3
3.3	PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL COORDINATION 4
3.4	PROVINCIAL, FEDERAL, AND MUNICIPAL LEGISLATION APPLICABLE TO THE PROJECT 4
3.4.1	Key Provincial Legislation 4
3.4.1.1	Environment Act..... 4
3.4.2	Key Federal Legislation 7
3.4.2.1	Fisheries Act 7
3.4.2.2	Species at Risk Act (SARA)..... 15
3.4.2.3	Navigable Waters Protection Act (NWPA) 15
3.4.2.4	Marine Transportation Security Act and Regulations..... 16
3.4.2.5	Canada Shipping Act 16
3.4.2.6	Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA) 17
3.4.2.7	Other Federal Legislation..... 19
3.4.3	Municipal 20

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
3.5	TERMPOL PROCESS 20
3.6	GUIDELINES, POLICIES AND CODES 20
3.6.1	Voluntary Guidelines, Policies and Codes..... 24
4.0	NEED FOR THE PROJECT 4-1
4.1	NATURAL GAS MARKET 4-2
4.2	POLYOLEFINS MARKET 4-5
4.3	COGENERATION PLANT 4-6
4.4	UTILITIES AND COMMON SUPPORT FACILITIES..... 4-9
4.5	CONCLUSION 4-9
5.0	A DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT 5-1
5.1	ALTERNATIVES TO LNG 5-1
5.2	ALTERNATIVES TO LNG IMPORTATION 5-1
5.3	ALTERNATIVES TO ON-SITE POWER GENERATION 5-1
5.4	ALTERNATIVES TO PETROCHEMICAL PRODUCTION 5-2
5.5	CONCLUSIONS 5-2
6.0	OTHER METHODS FOR CARRYING OUT THE PROJECT 6-1
6.1	OVERALL PROJECT 6-1
6.1.1	Alternative Project Sites 6-1
6.2	LNG FACILITY 6-3
6.2.1	LNG Storage Tanks..... 6-3
6.2.2	LNG Marine Terminal 6-4
6.2.3	Regasification Facilities 6-4
6.3	PETROCHEMICAL PLANT 6-5
6.3.1	Ethylene and Propylene Production Process 6-5
6.3.2	Marginal Wharf 6-5
6.4	POWER PRODUCTION 6-6
6.4.1	Alternative Methods for Power Supply 6-6
6.5	UTILITIES AND COMMON SUPPORT FACILITIES..... 6-7
6.5.1	Water Supply - Raw Water Intake at Meadow Lake..... 6-7
6.5.2	Road Access 6-7
6.6	ALTERNATE METHODS EVALUTION..... 6-8
7.0	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY 7-1
7.1	BOUNDARY DEFINITION 7-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
7.1.1 Temporal Boundaries	7-1
7.1.2 Spatial Boundaries	7-2
7.2 VEC SELECTION	7-2
7.2.1 Methods.....	7-3
7.2.2 VEC Description	7-7
7.3 STRATEGY FOR DETERMINING VEC – PROJECT INTERACTION.....	7-12
7.4 IMPACT PREDICTION	7-13
7.4.1 Environmental Effects Assessment.....	7-13
7.4.2 Mitigation.....	7-13
7.4.3 Residual Effects and Determination of Significance.....	7-13
8.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	8-1
8.1 AREA GEOGRAPHY	8-1
8.1.1 Population Distribution	8-1
8.2 EXISTING AND PLANNED LAND USE.....	8-1
8.2.1 Proposed Keltic Industrial Site	8-1
8.2.2 Aboriginal Use of Land and Resources.....	8-4
8.2.3 Mining.....	8-5
8.3 SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND RECREATIONAL.....	8-5
8.3.1 The Economy of Nova Scotia.....	8-5
8.3.1.1 Nova Scotia Historical Growth	8-5
8.3.1.2 Nova Scotia Economic Outlook	8-5
8.3.2 Guysborough County Socio-economic Conditions.....	8-7
8.3.2.1 Population	8-7
8.3.2.2 Economic Structure.....	8-7
8.3.2.3 Labour Force Age Group and the Active Labour Force	8-8
8.3.2.4 Potential New Labour Supply.....	8-10
8.3.2.5 Income	8-10
8.3.2.6 Socioeconomic Planning in Guysborough County.....	8-11
8.3.3 Antigonish County Socioeconomic Conditions.....	8-11
8.3.3.1 Population	8-11
8.3.3.2 Economic Structure.....	8-11
8.3.3.3 Labour Force Age Group/Active Labour Force	8-12
8.3.3.4 Potential New Labour Supply.....	8-13
8.3.3.5 Income	8-13
8.3.3.6 Socio-economic Planning in Antigonish County	8-13
8.3.3.7 Tourism.....	8-15
8.3.4 Recreational Opportunities and Aesthetics	8-16
8.3.5 Property Values.....	8-16
8.4 ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS	8-16
8.4.1 Review of Baseline Climatological Data.....	8-16
8.4.1.1 Temperatures.....	8-17
8.4.1.2 Winds	8-17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

		Page
	8.4.1.3 Precipitation	8-17
	8.4.1.4 Fog.....	8-18
	8.4.1.5 Severe Weather	8-18
	8.4.1.6 Normals and Extremes	8-19
8.4.2	Description of Existing Ambient Air Quality	8-23
8.5	AMBIENT NOISE AND LIGHT LEVELS	8-23
8.5.1	Noise	8-23
8.5.2	Lighting.....	8-24
	8.5.2.1 Socio-economic	8-24
	8.5.2.2 Migratory Birds.....	8-24
8.6	SURFACE WATER.....	8-25
8.6.1	Water Uses and Users	8-25
8.6.2	Water Quality Methods.....	8-25
8.6.3	Water Quality in Study Area Lakes, Rivers and Ponds – Offsite.....	8-28
	8.6.3.1 Meadow Lake.....	8-28
	8.6.3.2 Gold Brook Lake	8-34
	8.6.3.3 Ocean Lake.....	8-34
	8.6.3.4 New Harbour River	8-35
8.6.4	Water Quality in Study Area Lakes, Rivers and Ponds – On-Site.....	8-35
	8.6.4.1 Red Head Ponds.....	8-35
8.6.5	Water Supply.....	8-36
	8.6.5.1 Description of the Watersheds Studied.....	8-36
	8.6.5.2 Gold Brook System Watershed.....	8-39
	8.6.5.3 Isaac’s Harbour River Watershed	8-39
	8.6.5.4 Stream-Flow Data	8-39
	8.6.5.5 Stream-Flow Amounts Greater than Total Precipitation Catchment.....	8-42
	8.6.5.6 Drought and Flood Frequency Forecasting	8-44
	8.6.5.7 Reservoir Water Balances	8-44
8.7	GROUNDWATER	8-47
8.7.1	General Hydrogeology	8-48
	8.7.1.1 Meguma Group (Halifax and Goldenville Formations).....	8-48
	8.7.1.2 Groundwater Flow Direction	8-49
8.7.2	Local Well Survey.....	8-49
8.7.3	Hydrogeology at the Proposed Keltic Site.....	8-51
	8.7.3.1 Monitoring Well Design, Construction and Development.....	8-55
	8.7.3.2 Hydraulic Conductivity (Slug) Testing	8-55
	8.7.3.3 Groundwater Sampling	8-55
	8.7.3.4 Physical Site Hydrogeology	8-58
	8.7.3.5 Chemical Hydrogeology.....	8-61
8.8	FLORA, FAUNA, AND TERRESTRIAL HABITAT.....	8-66
8.8.1	Methods.....	8-66
8.8.2	Regional Setting	8-68
8.8.3	Keltic Site	8-69

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
8.8.4 Meadow Lake Basin	8-70
8.8.5 Vascular Plants	8-73
8.8.6 Wildlife	8-74
8.8.6.1 Amphibians	8-74
8.8.6.2 Reptiles	8-75
8.8.6.3 Birds	8-75
8.8.6.4 Mammals	8-80
8.8.6.5 Species at Risk	8-84
8.9 FORESTRY	8-86
8.10 WETLAND RESOURCES	8-87
8.10.1 Main Keltic Site and Terminal Area	8-89
8.10.1.1 Wetland No. 1	8-89
8.10.1.2 Wetland No. 2	8-90
8.10.1.3 Wetland No. 3	8-90
8.10.1.4 Wetland No. 4	8-91
8.10.1.5 Wetland No. 5	8-92
8.10.1.6 Wetlands No. 6 and 7	8-92
8.10.1.7 Wetland No. 8	8-92
8.10.1.8 Wetland No. 9	8-93
8.10.1.9 Wetland No. 10	8-93
8.10.1.10 Wetland No. 11	8-94
8.10.1.11 Wetland No. 12	8-94
8.10.1.12 Wetland No.13	8-95
8.10.2 Meadow Lake Wetlands	8-96
8.11 FISHERY, AQUACULTURE AND HARVESTING RESOURCES	8-96
8.11.1 Freshwater	8-96
8.11.2 Marine	8-97
8.11.2.1 Non-Commercial Species	8-97
8.11.2.2 Commercial Species	8-97
8.11.2.3 Inshore Fishery and Aquaculture	8-98
8.11.2.4 Home Ports, Numbers of Fishermen and Vessels	8-99
8.11.2.5 Landings and Values	8-101
8.11.2.6 Revenues and Earnings	8-103
8.11.2.7 Seasonality	8-105
8.12 AQUATIC SPECIES AND HABITAT	8-105
8.12.1 Freshwater Species and Habitat	8-105
8.12.1.1 Methods	8-105
8.12.1.2 Meadow Lake	8-106
8.12.1.3 Gold Brook Lake	8-111
8.12.1.4 Ocean Lake	8-112
8.12.1.5 New Harbour River	8-113
8.12.1.6 Red Head Peninsula Ponds	8-114
8.12.2 Marine Species and Habitat	8-117
8.12.2.1 Previous Studies	8-117

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

		Page
	8.12.2.2 Biophysical Environment.....	8-118
	8.12.2.3 Marine Biological Environment	8-122
	8.12.2.4 Habitat Use and Productivity.....	8-128
8.13	BEDROCK AND SURFICIAL GEOLOGY	8-130
	8.13.1 Surficial Geology	8-130
	8.13.1.1 A and B Soil Horizons	8-130
	8.13.1.2 C Soil Horizon	8-132
	8.13.2 Bedrock Geology.....	8-134
	8.13.2.1 Past Mapping Work.....	8-134
	8.13.2.2 Geologic Formations – Major Physiographic Regions	8-134
	8.13.2.3 Southern Upland	8-136
	8.13.2.4 Tectonic, Metamorphic and Structural Geology	8-137
	8.13.2.5 Proposed Keltic Site.....	8-137
	8.13.3 Past Mining and Economic Geology	8-138
	8.13.3.1 Old Mine Workings.....	8-139
	8.13.3.2 Ore Mineralogy	8-141
	8.13.4 Inactive Tailings Disposal Sites	8-141
	8.13.5 Seismic Considerations	8-145
	8.13.5.1 Seismic Regions	8-145
	8.13.5.2 Tsunami	8-148
8.14	ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	8-149
	8.14.1 Historical Background Research	8-149
	8.14.1.1 Keltic Site	8-149
	8.14.2 Archaeological Field Survey and Reconnaissance	8-150
	8.14.2.1 Keltic Site	8-150
8.15	HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	8-154
8.16	TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE	8-154
	8.16.1.1 Description of Existing Road Conditions.....	8-154
	8.16.1.2 Speed Zones.....	8-155
	8.16.1.3 Traffic Volumes	8-155
	8.16.1.4 Seasonal Variation in Traffic Volumes	8-156
	8.16.1.5 Vehicle Classification	8-156
	8.16.1.6 Collision Rates	8-157
9.0	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	9-1
9.1	IMPACTS ON AND FROM LAND USE.....	9-1
	9.1.1 Construction Phase	9-1
	9.1.2 Operation Phase	9-1
	9.1.3 Conclusion.....	9-2
9.2	IMPACTS ON ABORIGINAL USE OF LAND AND RESOURCES.....	9-2
	9.2.1 Construction Phase	9-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
9.2.2 Operation Phase	9-2
9.2.3 Conclusion.....	9-2
9.3 IMPACTS ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	9-5
9.3.1 Construction Phase	9-5
9.3.1.1 Population Impacts	9-5
9.3.1.2 Economic Conditions	9-7
9.3.1.3 Employment Impacts	9-7
9.3.1.4 Impacts Related to Income, Socio-Economic Planning, and Tourism	9-7
9.3.2 Operation Phase	9-8
9.3.2.1 Population Impacts	9-8
9.3.2.2 Economic Conditions	9-10
9.3.2.3 Employment	9-11
9.3.2.4 Income Impacts.....	9-11
9.3.2.5 Socio-Economic Planning Impacts	9-12
9.3.2.6 Tourism	9-14
9.3.3 Conclusion.....	9-15
9.4 IMPACTS ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY VALUES	9-15
9.4.1 Construction Phase	9-15
9.4.2 Operation Phase	9-15
9.4.3 Conclusion.....	9-20
9.5 IMPACTS ON RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AND AESTHETICS	9-20
9.5.1 Construction Phase	9-20
9.5.2 Operation Phase	9-20
9.5.2.1 Existing Visual Quality	9-21
9.5.2.2 Visual Characteristics of Proposed Development.....	9-22
9.5.2.3 Potential Receptors, View Points.....	9-23
9.5.2.4 Visual Impacts.....	9-24
9.5.3 Conclusion.....	9-25
9.6 IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY	9-26
9.6.1 Construction Phase	9-26
9.6.2 Operation Phase	9-30
9.6.2.1 Source Definition.....	9-30
9.6.2.2 Impact Analysis.....	9-32
9.6.2.3 Meteorological Data Selection, Review, and Processing.....	9-43
9.6.2.4 Land Use Analysis and Receptor Grid Development.....	9-44
9.6.2.5 Modeling Results	9-44
9.6.3 Conclusions.....	9-59
9.7 NOISE IMPACTS	9-63
9.7.1 Construction Phase	9-63
9.7.2 Operation Phase	9-65
9.7.3 Conclusion.....	9-66
9.8 IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER.....	9-69

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
9.8.1 Construction Phase	9-69
9.8.1.1 On-Site Watercourses.....	9-70
9.8.1.2 Off-site Water Courses	9-72
9.8.1.3 Construction of Meadow Lake Dam and Water-Intake	9-73
9.8.2 Operation Phase	9-74
9.8.2.1 Plant Site Discharges and Receiving Waters	9-74
9.8.2.2 General Process Wastewater Management	9-74
9.8.2.3 Storm-water Management – Plant Site Operation	9-75
9.8.2.4 Receiving Waters.....	9-75
9.8.2.5 Operation of Meadow Lake Dam and Water-Intake.....	9-76
9.8.2.6 Inter-Watershed Transfers	9-77
9.8.3 Conclusion.....	9-77
9.9 IMPACTS ON GROUNDWATER.....	9-81
9.9.1 Construction	9-81
9.9.2 Operation.....	9-82
9.9.3 Conclusion.....	9-83
9.10 IMPACTS ON FLORA, FAUNA, AND TERRESTRIAL HABITAT	9-88
9.10.1 Construction Phase	9-88
9.10.2 Operation Phase	9-90
9.10.2.1 Project Site	9-90
9.10.2.2 Meadow Lake	9-91
9.10.3 Conclusion.....	9-92
9.11 IMPACTS ON FORESTRY	9-96
9.11.1 Construction Phase	9-96
9.11.2 Operation Phase	9-96
9.11.3 Conclusion.....	9-96
9.12 IMPACTS ON WETLANDS.....	9-96
9.12.1 Construction Phase	9-96
9.12.2 Operation Phase	9-97
9.12.3 Conclusion.....	9-97
9.13 IMPACTS ON FISHERIES, AQUACULTURE, AND HARVESTING.....	9-100
9.13.1 Construction	9-100
9.13.1.1 Marine Environment.....	9-100
9.13.1.2 Freshwater Environment.....	9-101
9.13.2 Operation.....	9-102
9.13.2.1 Marine Environment.....	9-102
9.13.2.2 Freshwater Environment.....	9-103
9.13.3 Conclusion.....	9-103
9.14 IMPACTS ON FRESHWATER SPECIES AND HABITAT	9-107
9.14.1 Construction Phase	9-107
9.14.1.1 Betty’s Cove Brook	9-107
9.14.1.2 Crusher Brook.....	9-107
9.14.1.3 Un-Named Tributary to Red Head Pond.....	9-107

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
9.14.1.4 Red Head Ponds 4 and 5.....	9-108
9.14.2 Operation Phase	9-108
9.14.2.1 On-site Water Courses	9-108
9.14.2.2 Meadow Lake and Isaac's Harbour Watershed	9-108
9.14.3 Conclusion.....	9-112
9.15 IMPACTS ON MARINE SPECIES AND HABITAT.....	9-115
9.15.1 Construction	9-115
9.15.1.1 Fish Habitat.....	9-115
9.15.1.2 Fish Habitat and Sediment Contamination	9-116
9.15.1.3 Seabirds.....	9-117
9.15.1.4 Mammals	9-117
9.15.2 Operation.....	9-117
9.15.2.1 Fish Habitat.....	9-117
9.15.2.2 Fish Habitat and Sediment Contamination	9-118
9.15.2.3 Seabirds.....	9-118
9.15.2.4 Marine Mammals	9-118
9.15.3 Conclusions.....	9-118
9.16 IMPACTS ON AGRICULTURE	9-122
9.16.1 Construction Phase	9-122
9.16.2 Operation Phase	9-122
9.16.3 Conclusion.....	9-122
9.17 GEOLOGICAL IMPACTS.....	9-122
9.17.1 Construction	9-123
9.17.1.1 Mine Workings	9-123
9.17.1.2 Tailings.....	9-123
9.17.2 Operations.....	9-123
9.17.2.1 Mine Workings	9-123
9.17.2.2 Tailings.....	9-124
9.17.3 Conclusion.....	9-124
9.18 IMPACTS ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	9-127
9.18.1 Introduction.....	9-127
9.18.2 Construction Phase	9-127
9.18.2.1 LNG Facility and Marine Terminal	9-128
9.18.2.2 CoGeneration Power Plant	9-129
9.18.2.3 Petrochemical Facility.....	9-129
9.18.2.4 Infrastructure (Water Intake or Others).....	9-129
9.18.3 Operation Phase	9-129
9.18.4 Conclusion.....	9-130
9.19 TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS	9-134
9.19.1 Construction Phase	9-134
9.19.2 Operation Phase	9-135
9.19.3 Conclusion.....	9-136

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
9.20 IMPACTS ON HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	9-138
9.20.1 Construction Phase	9-145
9.20.2 Operation Phase	9-146
9.20.3 Conclusion.....	9-147
9.21 MALFUNCTIONS AND ACCIDENTAL EVENTS	9-148
9.21.1 LNG Facility.....	9-148
9.21.1.1 LNG Properties and Behaviour	9-148
9.21.1.2 General Regulatory Requirements	9-149
9.21.1.3 LNG Industry Safety Record	9-151
9.21.2 Petrochemical Facility	9-152
9.21.2.1 Background.....	9-152
9.21.2.2 Historical Perspective	9-154
9.21.2.3 Legislation.....	9-155
9.21.3 Qualitative Assessment of Safety Risks.....	9-157
9.21.4 Introduction to Quantitative Risk Assessment.....	9-161
9.21.4.1 The Major Industrial Accident Council of Canada Process for Canada	9-162
9.21.5 Thermal Radiation Exclusion Zones.....	9-163
9.21.5.1 Regulatory Requirements for Property Lines and Occupancy.....	9-163
9.21.5.2 Regulatory Requirements Concerning Container Spacing	9-164
9.21.5.3 Methodology Used to Determine Effects of Thermal Radiation.....	9-164
9.21.5.4 Results of Calculations	9-164
9.21.6 Vapour Dispersion Exclusion Zones	9-171
9.21.6.1 Regulatory Requirements	9-171
9.21.6.2 Methodology Used to Determine Vapour Dispersion Exclusion Zones	9-173
9.21.6.3 Results of Vapour Dispersion Studies	9-174
9.22 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON THE PROJECT	9-175
9.22.1 Extreme weather	9-178
9.22.1.1 Wind.....	9-178
9.22.1.2 Precipitation	9-178
9.22.1.3 Lightning	9-179
9.22.1.4 Fog.....	9-179
9.22.2 Floods.....	9-180
9.22.3 Ocean Waves.....	9-180
9.22.4 Construction Site and Shore-line Erosion.....	9-180
9.22.5 Sea Spray, Acid Rain, Acid Fog	9-180
9.22.6 Icing.....	9-180
9.22.7 Faults/Shear Zones, Seismic Events and Tsunamis	9-181
9.22.7.1 Faults and Shear Zones at the Proposed Dam at Meadow Lake	9-181
9.22.7.2 Seismic Considerations	9-181
9.22.7.3 Tsunami	9-188
9.22.8 Climate Change and Sea Level Rise	9-189
9.22.8.1 Sea Level Rise.....	9-191

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
9.22.9 Forest Fires	9-191
9.23 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	9-191
9.23.1 Selection of Other Projects and Activities.....	9-192
9.23.1.1 Regional Oil and Gas Development.....	9-192
9.23.1.2 Forestry.....	9-193
9.23.1.3 Mining	9-193
9.23.1.4 Existing Roads	9-194
9.23.2 Discussion of Potential Effects	9-194
9.23.3 Fish & Marine Habitat.....	9-195
9.23.4 Air Quality	9-196
9.23.4.1 Local Air Quality.....	9-196
9.23.4.2 Greenhouse Gasses (GHG)	9-196
9.23.5 Socio-economic Environment.....	9-197
9.23.5.1 Traffic Infrastructure.....	9-197
9.23.6 Conclusion.....	9-197
10.0 PROPOSED MITIGATION	10-1
10.1 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	10-1
10.2 LAND USE	10-2
10.3 ABORIGINAL USE OF LAND AND RESOURCES	10-2
10.3.1 Mitigation Measures Related to Construction Phase.....	10-2
10.3.2 Mitigation Measures Related to Operation Phase	10-2
10.4 SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	10-3
10.4.1 Overriding Mitigation and Enhancement Recommendation	10-3
10.4.2 Mitigation related to Construction Phase.....	10-3
10.4.3 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase.....	10-4
10.4.4 The Role of Local Economic Development Agencies.....	10-5
10.5 RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY VALUE	10-6
10.6 RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AND AESTHETICS.....	10-6
10.7 AIR QUALITY	10-7
10.7.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-8
10.7.2 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase.....	10-9
10.8 NOISE IMPACTS	10-9
10.9 SURFACE WATER.....	10-10
10.9.1 Surface Water Quality	10-12
10.9.2 Surface Water Quantity	10-15
10.9.3 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-15
10.9.4 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase.....	10-15
10.9.5 Decommissioning	10-16
10.10 GROUND WATER	10-16
10.10.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-18

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
10.10.2 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase	10-19
10.11 FLORA FAUNA AND TERRESTRIAL HABITAT.....	10-19
10.11.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Activities on Plant Site, Terminal, and Off-Shore Areas	10-19
10.11.2 Mitigation Related to Operations on Plant Site, Terminal, and Off-Shore Areas	10-20
10.11.3 Impoundment of Meadow Lake.....	10-21
10.12 FORESTRY.....	10-21
10.13 WETLANDS	10-22
10.14 IMPACTS ON FISHERIES, AQUACULTURE AND HARVESTING.....	10-22
10.14.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-22
10.14.2 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase	10-24
10.15 IMPACTS ON FRESHWATER SPECIES AND HABITAT	10-26
10.15.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-26
10.15.2 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase	10-32
10.16 MARINE SPECIES AND HABITAT	10-33
10.16.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-34
10.16.2 Mitigation related to Operational Phase.....	10-35
10.17 AGRICULTURE	10-36
10.18 GEOLOGICAL IMPACTS.....	10-36
10.18.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-36
10.18.2 Mitigation Related to Operation Phase	10-38
10.19 ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	10-39
10.19.1 Mitigation Related to Construction Phase	10-39
10.20 TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS	10-41
10.21 HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	10-42
10.22 MALFUNCTIONS AND ACCIDENTAL EVENTS	10-43
10.22.1 LNG Facility.....	10-43
10.22.2 Petrochemical Facility – Safety Design Approach.....	10-46
10.22.3 Natural Gas-related Accidents, Malfunctions and Upset Conditions.....	10-50
10.22.4 Forest Fire.....	10-50
10.22.5 Vehicle Accidents.....	10-51
10.22.6 Worker Accidents	10-51
10.22.7 Failure of Erosion Protection Measures	10-52
10.22.8 Hazardous Material Spill	10-52
10.22.9 Security	10-53
10.22.10 Dam Failure.....	10-54
10.23 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON THE PROJECT.....	10-56
10.24 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS.....	10-58

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
11.0 RESIDUAL ADVERSE EFFECTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	11-1
12.0 EVALUATION OF THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES TO THE ENVIRONMENT.....	12-1
13.0 PROPOSED COMPLIANCE AND EFFECTS MONITORING PROGRAMS	13-1
13.1 AIR QUALITY MONITORING	13-1
13.1.1 Construction	13-1
13.1.2 Operations	13-1
13.2 NOISE AND LIGHT MONITORING.....	13-2
13.2.1 Construction	13-2
13.2.2 Operations	13-2
13.3 SURFACE WATER MONITORING.....	13-3
13.4 GROUNDWATER AND WATER WELL SURVEY	13-5
13.4.1 Water Supply Wells	13-5
13.4.2 Groundwater at the Plant Site	13-6
13.4.3 Proposed Meadow Lake Dam	13-7
13.5 FLORA, FAUNA, AND TERRESTRIAL HABITAT MONITORING	13-7
13.5.1 Bird Census.....	13-7
13.5.2 Vegetation	13-8
13.5.3 Wildlife.....	13-8
13.6 INSHORE FISHERIES MONITORING	13-8
13.7 FRESHWATER SPECIES AND HABITAT MONITORING	13-8
13.8 MARINE SPECIES AND HABITAT MONITORING.....	13-10
13.9 ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE MONITORING	13-10
13.10 PRE-BLAST SURVEY	13-11
13.11 COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT	13-11
13.12 OTHER MONITORING PLANS	13-12
13.13 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (EPP).....	13-12
13.14 WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN	13-14
14.0 PUBLIC INFORMATION PROGRAM.....	14-1
14.1 PURPOSE.....	14-1
14.2 REGULATORY CONTEXT	14-1
14.3 GOALS.....	14-2
14.4 DEFINING STAKEHOLDERS, COMMUNITIES, AND PUBLICS	14-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
14.4.1 Communities	14-3
14.4.2 Economic and Development Interests.....	14-3
14.4.3 Environmental and Community Planning Concerns	14-3
14.5 PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS.....	14-4
14.5.1 Approaches to Public Consultation.....	14-4
14.5.2 Community Liaison Committee (CLC).....	14-4
14.5.3 Scoping Backgrounder	14-5
14.5.4 Public Meetings on Issue Scoping	14-5
14.5.5 Consultations Summary	14-9
14.6 CONTINUED CONSULTATION.....	14-10
15.0 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	15-1
15.1 INTRODUCTION.....	15-1
15.2 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	15-1
15.3 IMPACT ASSESSMENT	15-2
15.4 MITIGATION	15-2
15.5 RESIDUAL EFFECTS SUMMARY	15-2
15.6 COMPLIANCE AND EFFECTS MONITORING	15-3
15.7 PUBLIC INVOLVMENT.....	15-4
15.8 CONCLUSION	15-4
REFERENCES	R-1
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS	R-16

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.0-1	Key Characteristics of Keltic Project Components	2-1
TABLE 2.3-1	Inside Battery Limits (ISBL) Facilities	2-9
TABLE 2.3-2	Specification imported LNG1	2-16
TABLE 2.3-3	Overview of Phase One Key Project Parameters of LNG Facility	2-18
TABLE 2.3-4	Specification natural gas1	2-18
TABLE 2.3-5	Codes and Regulations	2-33
TABLE 2.4-1	KELTIC - Employment During Construction	2-56
TABLE 2.5-1	Qualitative Assessment of Cooling Water Options	2-136
TABLE 2.5-2	Water Withdrawal Scenarios	2-152
TABLE 3.4-1	List of Relevant Legislation	9
TABLE 3.6-1	Applicable Guidelines/Policies/Codes	20
TABLE 3.6-2	Voluntary Guidelines/Policies/Codes.....	25
TABLE 6.0-1	Evaluation of Alternative Methods	6-10
TABLE 7.2-1	Basis for Selection of VECs.....	7-4
TABLE 7.4-1	Definitions for Levels of Magnitude	7-14
TABLE 8.3-1	Nova Scotia Economic Growth, 1984 – 2002.....	8-6
TABLE 8.3-2	Guysborough County, Population by Age and Sex	8-7
TABLE 8.3-3	Labour Force by Industry, 2001.....	8-8
TABLE 8.3-4	Nova Scotia, Population by Age and Sex.....	8-9
TABLE 8.3-5	Labour Force Activity - 15 Years and Over, Guysborough County	8-9
TABLE 8.3-6	Labour Force Activity - 15 Years and Over, Nova Scotia	8-10
TABLE 8.3-7	Educational Attainment, Population 15+ (%), 2001, Guysborough County.....	8-10
TABLE 8.3-8	Household Income for Guysborough County, Antigonish County, and Nova Scotia	8-11
TABLE 8.3-9	Antigonish County, Population by Age and Sex.....	8-12
TABLE 8.3-10	Labour Force Activity - 15 Years and Over, Antigonish County	8-12
TABLE 8.4-1	Stillwater-Sherbrooke Climate Normals (1971-2000) and Extremes (1967-2001) (EC, 2005b).....	8-20
TABLE 8.4-2	Halifax Shearwater Climate Normals (1971-2000) and Extremes (1944-2001) (EC, 2005b).....	8-21
TABLE 8.5-1	Hourly Leq Range (dBA) SOE Gas Plant, Sept. 15-16, 2004	8-24
TABLE 8.5-2	Typical Noise Values (dBA).....	8-24
TABLE 8.6-1	Project-watershed Matrix.....	8-25
TABLE 8.6-2	Known, Assumed, and Possible Water Uses in the Keltic Study Area.....	8-27
TABLE 8.6-3	Surface Water Quality - Offsite.....	8-29
TABLE 8.6-4	Water-Chemistry Profile of Ocean Lake, Spring 2005.....	8-31
TABLE 8.6-5	Lab Analysis Results for Off Site Water Samples	8-32
TABLE 8.6-6	Water-Chemistry in Red Head Ponds	8-35
TABLE 8.6-7	Lab Analysis Results for On-Site Water Samples Collected in April 2005	8-37
TABLE 8.6-8	Key Characteristics for Systems Reviewed.....	8-36
TABLE 8.6-9	Total 2002 Outflow (m3) for ML1, GB1 and, GB2	8-40
TABLE 8.6-10	Statistics of 2002 Flow (in m3/hour) for ML1, GB1, and GB2.....	8-40
TABLE 8.6-11	Monthly Summary Statistics of Flow Values for ML1, October 2001 to May 2003 (m3/hr).....	8-40
TABLE 8.6-12	Monthly Statistics of Flows for GB1 October 2001 to May 2003 (m3/hr).....	8-41
TABLE 8.6-13	Monthly Statistics of Flows for GB2 October 2001 to May 2003 (m3/hr).....	8-41

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE 8.6-14 Monthly Statistics of Flows for GB3 October 2001 to March 2002 (m3/hr)	8-42
TABLE 8.6-15 Total Monthly Precipitation (in mm) October 2001 to May 2003	8-42
TABLE 8.6-16 Monthly Summary for Isaac’s Harbour River at ML1 October 2001 to May 2003	8-44
TABLE 8.6-17 Monthly Average Values for Precipitation (GIS-Modeled Values) and Calculated Flow for the Years 1982 to 2002 for the Isaac’s Harbour River Watershed At ML1	8-45
TABLE 8.6-18 Summer (June, July, and August) Drought Estimates (in mm)	8-45
TABLE 8.6-19 100-year Storm Events (in mm).....	8-45
TABLE 8.6-20 200-year storm events (in mm).....	8-46
TABLE 8.6-21 500-year Storm Events (in mm).....	8-46
TABLE 8.6-22 Typical Event-to-Peak Delay (time in hours) between Precipitation Events and Stream-flow Peak on Hydrographs	8-46
TABLE 8.6-23 Results of Withdrawing 1,200 m3/hr. from Isaac’s Harbour River at Meadow Lake Based on 1982-2002 Data	8-47
TABLE 8.7-1 Average Well Data, (NSEL Well Log Database, Goldenville and Halifax Formations)	8-48
TABLE 8.7-2 Analytical Results for Water Samples Collected from Water Supply Wells Located within 1 km of the Proposed Petrochemical Plant Site Boundaries	8-52
TABLE 8.7-3 Lab Analytical Protocol Used For Groundwater Sample Analysis.....	8-53
TABLE 8.7-4 Monitoring Well Construction and Development Details	8-56
TABLE 8.7-5 Hydraulic Conductivity Results at Monitoring Wells (All Values in cm/sec).....	8-57
TABLE 8.7-6 Summary of protocol used for Sample Collection	8-57
TABLE 8.7-7 Groundwater Elevations at Monitoring Wells (elevations reference mean sea level)	8-58
TABLE 8.7-8 Groundwater Flow Velocity Estimates at the Proposed Keltic Site	8-61
TABLE 8.7-9 General Chemistry and Metals Analysis Results for Surface Water Used to Drill and for Groundwater Samples Collected from the Monitoring Wells Installed During the 2005 Field Season.....	8-63
TABLE 8.7-10 Organic Chemistry Analysis Results for Groundwater Samples Collected from the Monitoring Wells Installed During the 2005 Field Season.....	8-64
TABLE 8.8-1 Marine Shoreline Plant Species Identified in Peninsular Area	8-69
TABLE 8.8-2 Meadow Lake Vegetation Types	8-71
TABLE 8.8-3 Number of Vascular Plant Species.....	8-73
TABLE 8.8-4 Number of Bird Species Observed	8-76
TABLE 8.8-5 Warblers and Related Birds in Study Area	8-77
TABLE 8.8-6 Comparison of Habitat Use by Woodland/Shrubland Birds.....	8-78
TABLE 8.8-7 Shorebirds Observed in Dike/Beach Area.....	8-78
TABLE 8.8-8 Raptors Observed in the Study Area.....	8-79
TABLE 8.8-9 Mammals Observed in the Study Area.....	8-80
TABLE 8.8-10 Furbearer Harvest in Guysborough County in 1994.....	8-83
TABLE 8.10-1 Wetland Type and Area (ha)	8-88
TABLE 8.11-1 Number of Inshore Fishers, Guysborough County	8-99
TABLE 8.11-2 Numbers of Fishermen by Port, 1999.....	8-101
TABLE 8.11-3 2000-2003 Value of Landings by Statistical District (SD) in Thousands of Dollars	8-101

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE 8.11-4 Sea Urchin Landings (t) for Eastern Nova Scotia from 1994 to 2000.....	8-103
TABLE 8.11-5 Inshore Fish Landings (kilograms) by Community in the Study Area, 1999 & 2000	8-104
TABLE 8.11-6 2000 Production Statistics, Aquaculture.....	8-104
TABLE 8.12-1 Fish Species Collected in Meadow Lake.....	8-111
TABLE 8.12-2 Fish Species Collected in Gold Brook Lake	8-112
TABLE 8.12-3 Fish-Species Collected in Ocean Lake	8-113
TABLE 8.12-4 Fish Species Collected in New Harbour River.....	8-114
TABLE 8.12-5 Marine Plant and Benthic Invertebrate Habitat.....	8-125
TABLE 8.12-6 Fish Habitat	8-125
TABLE 8.13-1 Tailings Sample Results from Giffin Mine and Dung Cove Areas	8-144
TABLE 8.16-1 Route Length, Travel Speed and Travel Time.....	8-155
TABLE 8.16-2 Annual Average Daily Traffic Volumes for Study Area Roads.....	8-156
TABLE 8.16-3 Seasonal Variation in Average Daily Volumes	8-156
TABLE 8.16-4 Five Years (1999 to 2003) Collision Data for Transport Study Area Roads ...	8-157
TABLE 9.2-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary Mi'kmaq Lands and Resource Use	9-3
TABLE 9.3-1 Workers On-site during Construction by Quarter	9-5
TABLE 9.3-2 Occupation Breakdown During Construction.....	9-6
TABLE 9.3-3 Employment During Operation	9-8
TABLE 9.3-4 Residual Environmental Effects Summary Socio-Economic Effects	9-16
TABLE 9.5-1 Key Project Components of Relevance for Visual Effects Assessment	9-23
TABLE 9.5-2 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Recreational Opportunities and Aesthetics	9-27
TABLE 9.6-1 Air Emission Inventory.....	9-33
TABLE 9.6-2 Air Emission Inventory – Additional Information on Parameters	9-37
TABLE 9.6-3 Nova Scotia Air Quality Regulations (Environment Act) and Canadian Environmental Protection Act Ambient Air Quality Objectives.....	9-42
TABLE 9.6-4 Maximum Predicted Overall Facility Impacts vs. Nova Scotia Air Quality Regulations and National Ambient Air Quality Objectives.....	9-47
TABLE 9.6-5 Maximum Predicted Sensitive Receptor Impacts vs. Nova Scotia Air Quality Regulations and National Ambient Air Quality Objectives.....	9-48
TABLE 9.6-6 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Air Quality	9-60
TABLE 9.7-1 Ambient Noise Guidelines	9-63
TABLE 9.7-2 Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels at 50 Feet.....	9-63
TABLE 9.7-3 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Noise.....	9-67
TABLE 9.8-1 Principal Types of Water Discharge Expected at the Keltic Plant Site (Construction Phase).....	9-70
TABLE 9.8-2 Principal Types of Water Discharge Expected at the Keltic Plant Site (Operation Phase).....	9-74
TABLE 9.8-3 Meadow Lake Tributaries and Incremental Length Flooded	9-77
TABLE 9.8-4 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Surface Water	9-78
TABLE 9.9-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Groundwater	9-84
TABLE 9.10-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Flora, Fauna, and Terrestrial Habitat	9-93
TABLE 9.12-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Wetlands	9-98

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE 9.13-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Fisheries, Aquaculture, and Harvesting	9-104
TABLE 9.14-1 Fish and Aquatic Habitat in Meadow Lake Tributaries	9-110
TABLE 9.14-2 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Freshwater Species and Habitat	9-113
TABLE 9.15-1 Lobster Habitat Area within the Proposed Wharf Area and Stormont Bay	9-116
TABLE 9.15-2 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Marine Species and Habitat...	9-120
TABLE 9.17-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Groundwater	9-125
TABLE 9.18-1 Relative Significance of Sites within the LNG Plant Keltic Study Area	9-127
TABLE 9.18-2 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Historic Resources (Archaeology)	9-131
TABLE 9.19-1 Residual Environmental Effects Summary for Transportation	9-137
TABLE 9.20-1 Residual Environmental Effects Criteria - Health and Safety	9-141
TABLE 9.20-2 Residual Environmental Effects Evaluation Assessment Matrix - Health and Safety	9-142
TABLE 9.21-1 Summary of EHSS Legislative Milestones Affecting the CPI	9-156
TABLE 9.21-2 LNG Model results 84 m diameter circular pool	9-165
TABLE 9.21-3 LNG Model Results 84 m Diameter Circular Pool	9-166
TABLE 9.21-4 LNG Model Results 84 m Diameter Circular Pool	9-167
TABLE 9.21-5 LNG Model Results	9-168
TABLE 9.21-6 LNG Model Results	9-169
TABLE 9.21-7 LNG Model Results (22 x 40 m Rectangular Pool).....	9-170
TABLE 9.21-8 LNG Model Results (24 x 78 m Rectangular Pool).....	9-171
TABLE 9.21-9 LNG Model Results	9-172
TABLE 9.22-1 Seismic hazard – Spectral Acceleration (g) with Time Periods 0.2, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 sec., and Peak Ground Acceleration (g) for Normalized Site Class C and for Site Classes A, B (after Adams and Halchuk, 2003 and Heidebrecht, 2003).....	9-188
TABLE 9.22-2 CICS Scenario Prediction Model Results for Nova Scotia through to the 2080s. (using default model CGCM2 A21 SRES).....	9-190
TABLE 9.23-1 Number of Environmental Assessments Considered by the CNSOPB, 2001-2005	9-193
TABLE 9.23-2 Potentially Significant Cumulative Effects Interactions With Other Project Components/Projects/Activities	9-195
TABLE 10.3-1 Mitigation Measures for Land Use Effects.....	10-3
TABLE 10.4-1 Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomic Effects.....	10-6
TABLE 10.6-1 Mitigation Measures for Recreational Opportunities and Aesthetics Effects....	10-7
TABLE 10.7-1 Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Effects	10-8
TABLE 10.8-1 Mitigation Measures for Noise Impacts	10-10
TABLE 10.9-1 Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Effects	10-11
TABLE 10.10.1 Mitigation Measures for Groundwater Quality and Quantity Effects	10-17
TABLE 10.11-1 Mitigation Measures for Flora, Fauna, and Habitat Relative to Construction Activities on Plant Site, Terminal, and Off-Shore Areas.....	10-20
TABLE 10.11-2 Mitigation Measures for Flora, Fauna, and Habitat Relative to Operation Activities on Plant Site, Terminal, and Off-Shore Areas	10-21
TABLE 10.11-3 Flora, Fauna, and Habitat Mitigation Measures for Meadow Lake	10-21

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE 10.13-1 Mitigation Measures for Wetlands.....	10-22
TABLE 10.14-1 Mitigation Measures for Fisheries, Aquaculture and Harvesting.....	10-25
TABLE 10.15-1 Freshwater Fish and Fish-Habitat Mitigation for Proposed Marginal Wharf and LNG Terminal during Construction, Operation, and Maintenance.....	10-27
TABLE 10.15-2 Fishery Resources Mitigation for Petrochemical Complex Site during Construction, Operation, and Maintenance.....	10-29
TABLE 10.15-3 Fishery Resources Mitigation Measures for the Meadow Lake Water- Withdrawal Structure during Construction, Operation, and Maintenance.....	10-30
TABLE 10.15-4 Fishery Resources Mitigation for the Meadow Lake Dam during Construction, Operation, and Maintenance.....	10-32
TABLE 10.16-1 Mitigation Measures for Marine Environment Effects.....	10-35
TABLE 10.18-1 Mitigation Measures for Geological Impacts.....	10-38
TABLE 10.19-1 Mitigation Measures for Archaeological Resources.....	10-41
TABLE 10.20-1 Mitigation Measures for Transportation Impacts.....	10-41
TABLE 10.21-1 Mitigation Measures for Human Health and Safety Effects.....	10-42
TABLE 10.22-1 Summary of EHSS Legislative Milestones Affecting the CPI.....	10-46
TABLE 10.22-2 Additional Safety and Health Analyses that May be Applied.....	10-50
TABLE 10.22-3 Mitigation Measures for Accidents and Malfunctions.....	10-54
TABLE 10.23-1 Mitigation Measures for the Effects of the Environment on the Project.....	10-56
TABLE 11.0-1 Summary of Effects, Mitigation, and Significance of Residual Effects.....	11-3
TABLE 12.0-1 Construction Phase Project Advantages and Disadvantages.....	12-2
TABLE 12.0-2 Operations Phase- Project Advantages and Disadvantages.....	12-4
TABLE 12.0-3 Decommissioning Phase - Project Advantages and Disadvantages.....	12-6
TABLE 13.3-1 Proposed Surface Water Monitoring Program Elements.....	13-4
TABLE 13.5-1 Proposed Survey Times for Wildlife Monitoring Program.....	13-8
TABLE 13.7-1 Proposed Fish and Fish Habitat Monitoring.....	13-9
TABLE 13.9-1 Proposed Archaeological Compliance and Monitoring Programs.....	13-10
TABLE 14.5-1 Summary of Questions Asked at New Harbour Public Meeting.....	14-6
TABLE 14.5-2 Summary of the Major Concerns Raised at the Erinville Open House and the Responses to these Concerns from Keltic.....	14-7
TABLE 14.5-3 Summary of the Major Concerns Raised at the Antigonish Open House and the Responses to these Concerns from Keltic.....	14-8
TABLE 14.5-4 Summary of Questions and Responses from Port Bickerton Open House on Proposed Keltic Habitat Compensation Project.....	14-9
TABLE 14.5-5 Valued Ecosystem Components Identified during Public Consultation Process.....	14-10

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1-1A	Project Location and Regional Setting	1-2
FIGURE 1.1-1B	Project Location and Basic Layout	1-3
FIGURE 2.0-1A	Plan of Essential Components of the Keltic Project	2-3
FIGURE 2.0-1B	Plans of Essential Components of the Keltic Project.....	2-4
FIGURE 2.1-1	Keltic's Corporate Structure.....	2-6
FIGURE 2.1-2	Shaw S&W Scope	2-8
FIGURE 2.3-1	Overall Scheme	2-10
FIGURE 2.3-2	Flow Chart	2-11
FIGURE 2.3-3	Typical LNG Tank Design.....	2-12
FIGURE 2.3-4	Typical Submerged Vapourizer	2-13
FIGURE 2.3-5	Schematic of Pellet Transfer to the Wharf and Storage	2-24
FIGURE 2.3-6	Typical Arrangement of Product Storage Silos.....	2-25
FIGURE 2.3-7	Impoundment of Meadow Lake	2-29
FIGURE 2.3-8	Preliminary Concept of the Dam.....	2-30
FIGURE 2.3-9	Preliminary Concept of the Intake	2-31
FIGURE 2.4-1	Project Schedule	2-36
FIGURE 2.4-2	General Road Alignment and Work Camp Location – to be inserted.....	2-37
FIGURE 2.4-3	A Section and Profile of the Marginal Wharf Construction	2-53
FIGURE 2.4-4	Projected Employment Statistics	2-57
FIGURE 2.5-1	Typical Cogeneration Scheme	2-70
FIGURE 2.5-2	Typical conventional power generation scheme.....	2-70
FIGURE 2.5-3	Typical LNG Tanks under construction at the Dragon LNG Terminal, Wales (UK)	2-75
FIGURE 2.5-4	Preliminary Plan of LNG Marine Terminal	2-76
FIGURE 2.5-5	Schematic diagram of full containment tank.....	2-82
FIGURE 2.5-6	Conceptual Storage Tank Layout	2-84
FIGURE 2.5-7	Typical schematic of an air separation unit (ASU).....	2-87
FIGURE 2.5-8	Submerged combustion vapourizer (SCV)	2-88
FIGURE 2.5-9	Overall Schematic	2-97
FIGURE 2.5-10	Typical Ethylene Unit.....	2-103
FIGURE 2.5-11	Typical Cracking Furnaces	2-103
FIGURE 2.5-12	Typical Furnaces and Quench Tower.....	2-104
FIGURE 2.5-13	Typical LLD or HDPE Reactor.....	2-108
FIGURE 2.5-14	Typical LDPE Overview	2-109
FIGURE 2.5-15	ExxonMobil Typical - LDPE Reactor Bay	2-109
FIGURE 2.5-16	Typical Polypropylene Reactors	2-112
FIGURE 2.5-17	Typical Polypropylene Licensee Plant.....	2-112
FIGURE 2.5-18	Typical Ethylene and Propylene Storage Tank	2-113
FIGURE 2.5-19	Schematic Showing Loading Pellets to Trucks.....	2-126
FIGURE 2.5-20	Schematic Assessment of Cooling Water Options	2-135
FIGURE 2.5-21	Typical View of Gas Fired Simple Cycle General Electric Frame 7EA Combustion Turbine Generators	2-147
FIGURE 4.1-1	US and Canadian Natural Gas Demand	4-3
FIGURE 4.1-2	US and Canadian Natural Gas Supply	4-4
FIGURE 4.2-1	Global Polyethylene Demand	4-7
FIGURE 4.2-2	Global Polypropylene Demand.....	4-8

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE 8.1-1	Nova Scotia's Main Physiographic Regions	8-2
FIGURE 8.2-1	Generalized Future Land Use Map	8-3
FIGURE 8.6-1	Keltic Study Area Watersheds	8-26
FIGURE 8.6-2	Location of the Study Rain Gauges and Environment Canada Climate Stations.....	8-33
FIGURE 8.6-3	Watersheds Studied	8-38
FIGURE 8.7-1	Dug and Drilled Domestic Wells Within 1 km Site Boundaries.....	8-50
FIGURE 8.7-2	Monitoring Well Locations and Piezometric Contours	8-54
FIGURE 8.7-3	Piezometric Contour Map Showing Probable Gravitational Flow Direction...	8-60
FIGURE 8.7-4	Piper Diagram for the Water Samples in this Study	8-65
FIGURE 8.8-1	Keltic Plant Site and Terminal	8-67
FIGURE 8.8-2	Vegetation Types – Meadow Lake Basin	8-72
FIGURE 8.8-3	Roseate Tern Foraging Sites and Foraging Survey	8-82
FIGURE 8.11-1	Fishing Areas and Aquaculture Near Country Harbour	8-100
FIGURE 8.12-1	Study Area Lakes and Rivers	8-107
FIGURE 8.12-2	Tributaries Feeding Meadow Lake	8-109
FIGURE 8.12-3	Red Head Peninsula Study Area.....	8-116
FIGURE 8.12-4	Remote Operated Vehicle Transect Locations.....	8-119
FIGURE 8.12-5	Fish Habitat in the Vicinity of Keltic Facilities	8-123
FIGURE 8.12-6	Fish Habitat in Stormont Bay and Adjacent Areas	8-124
FIGURE 8.13-1	Soil Type Distribution (A and B Horizons)	8-131
FIGURE 8.13-2	Surficial Geology	8-133
FIGURE 8.13-3	Bedrock Geology	8-135
FIGURE 8.13-4	Abandoned Mine Workings/Tailings Disposal in Site Boundaries	8-140
FIGURE 8.13-5	Distribution of Exploration Licenses Issued.....	8-142
FIGURE 8.13-6	Historical Earthquakes in Canada	8-146
FIGURE 8.13-7	Seismic Sub Regions	8-147
FIGURE 8.14-1	Location of Heritage Resources	8-152
FIGURE 9.6-1	Halifax-Shearwater Wind Rose (2000-2004).....	9-45
FIGURE 9.6-2	Maximum 1-Hour NO ₂ Impacts.....	9-49
FIGURE 9.6-3	Maximum 24-Hour NO ₂ Impacts.....	9-50
FIGURE 9.6-4	Annual Average NO ₂ Impacts.....	9-51
FIGURE 9.6-5	Maximum 1-Hour SO ₂ Impacts.....	9-52
FIGURE 9.6-6	Maximum 24-Hour SO ₂ Impacts	9-53
FIGURE 9.6-7	Annual Average SO ₂ Impacts	9-54
FIGURE 9.6-8	Maximum 24-Hour TSP Impacts	9-55
FIGURE 9.6-9	Annual Average TSP Impacts	9-56
FIGURE 9.6-10	Maximum 1-Hour CO Impacts	9-57
FIGURE 9.6-11	Maximum 8-Hour CO Impacts.....	9-58
FIGURE 9.8-1	Plant Facilities and On-Site Watercourses	9-71
FIGURE 9.21-1	Major Accidents in Europe and North America (Factory Mutual Research Corporation, June 1999).....	9-153
FIGURE 9.21-2	Major Industrial Accident Council of Canada Land-Use Risk Acceptability Criteria.....	9-163
FIGURE 9.21-3	Graphical representation of the significant thermal flux contours.....	9-165

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE 9.21-4 Keltic Petrochemicals LNG Vapour Dispersion Study Inner LNG Storage Tank Failure.....	9-174
FIGURE 9.21-5 Keltic Petrochemicals LNG Vapour Dispersion Study Ship Unloading Transfer Line	9-176
FIGURE 9.21-6 Keltic Petrochemicals LNG Vapour Dispersion Study High Pressure Sendout Transfer Line	9-177
FIGURE 9.22-1 Spectral Acceleration (0.2) for Canada	9-183
FIGURE 9.22-2 Spectral Acceleration (0.5) for Canada	9-184
FIGURE 9.22-3 Spectral Acceleration (1.0) for Canada	9-185
FIGURE 9.22-4 Spectral Acceleration (2.0) for Canada	9-186
FIGURE 9.22-5 Peak Ground Acceleration for Canada.....	9-187

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1	Scoping Document/Terms of Reference
APPENDIX 2	Mi'kmaq Ecological Knowledge Study
APPENDIX 3	Detailed Study (GIS Modeling) of the Precipitation at the Watershed and Hydrologic Analysis
APPENDIX 4	Well Survey
APPENDIX 5	Lab Reports
APPENDIX 6	Borehole Monitoring Well Record
APPENDIX 7	List of Vascular Plants
APPENDIX 8	List of Vertebrate Wildlife
APPENDIX 9	Detailed Fish Collection Data
APPENDIX 10	Bird Habitats in Keltic Site and Meadow Lake Study Areas
APPENDIX 11	Geology, Exploration and Mining-Related Reports
APPENDIX 12	GSC Memoir 385, 1976 – Details of Gold Mining History
APPENDIX 13	Archaeological Resource Impact Assessment
APPENDIX 14	Compensation Area in Fisherman's Harbour
APPENDIX 15	The Institute of Petroleum Human Factors Briefing Notes and Shell Publications
APPENDIX 16	Public Consultation Scoping Backgrounder
APPENDIX 17	Keltic Petrochemicals Survey Results

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
ACCDC	Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre
ALERT	Atlantic Emergency Response Team
AMEC	AMEC Earth and Environmental
AMP	Administrative Monetary Penalty
APA	??
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASU	Air separation unit
BLEVA	Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapour Explosion
BOG	Boil-off Gas
BOP	Blowout Protector
BSI	British Standards Institute
BTX	Benzene, Toluene and Xylene
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of Environment
CCPA	Canadian Chemical Producers Association
CEAA	<i>Canadian Environmental Assessment Act</i>
CEF	Communication, Environmental and Fisheries Consultants
CEPA	<i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i>
CG	Cracked Gas
CIMAH	Control Industrial Major Accidents Hazards
CL	Carapace length
CLC	Community Liaison Committee
CMHC	Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
COMAH	Control of Major Accident Hazards
COSEWIC	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada
CPI	Coalescing Plate Interceptor
CSA	Canadian Standards Association
CSR	Comprehensive Study Report
CTA	Chain Transfer Agent
CWS	Canadian Wildlife Service
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
EA	Environmental Assessment
EC	Environment Canada
EHSS	Environment, Health, Safety and Security
EMS	Environmental Management System
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC	Engineering Procurement and Construction

EPP	Environmental Protection Plan
FEED	Front End Engineering Design
FEL	Front End Loading
GCIFA	Guysborough County Inshore Fisherman's Association
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
HADD	Harmful Alteration, Disruption or Destruction
HAZOP	Hazard and Operability Analysis
HAZROP	Hazards Reliability and Operability Analysis
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HFE	Human Factors Engineering
HSE	Health, Safety, and Environmental
IAFU	Induced Air Flotation Unit
IMO	International Maritime Organization
ISA	Instrument Society of America
ISBL	Inside Battery Limited
ISPS Code	International Ship, Port, and Facility Security Code
Keltic	Keltic Petrochemicals Inc.
LDPE	Low Density Polyethylene
LLDPE	Linear Low Density Polyethylene
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
M&NP	Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline
MBCA	<i>Migratory Bird Convention Act</i>
mLLDPE	metallocene Linear Low Density Polyethylene
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MP	Medium Pressure
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
MTSCP	Marine Transportation Security Clearance Program
NBCC	National Building Code of Canada
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
NO _x	Nitrous oxides
NPRI	National Pollutant Release Inventory
NSDAF	Nova Scotia Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Aquaculture
NSEL	Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour
NSDNR	Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources
NSDE	Nova Scotia Department of Energy
NSMNH	Nova Scotia Museum of Natural History
NSPI	Nova Scotia Power Inc.
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
NSRBA	Nova Scotia Road Builders Association
NSTPW	Nova Scotia Department of Transportation and Public Works

NSUARB	Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board
NWPA	<i>Navigable Waters Protection Act</i>
OCIMF	Oil Companies International Marine Forum
ORV	Open Rack Vaporization
OSBL	Outside Battery Limited
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OWS-1	Oil/Water Separator No. 1
P&IDs	Piping and Instrumentation Designs
PAG	Potential Acid Generating
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PHA	Process Hazard Analysis
PIRI	Partnership in RCBA Implementation
PLC	Programmable Logic Control
PM	Particulate Matter
PM ₁₀	Particulate Matter < 10 micron
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter < 2.5 micron
PMC	Project Management Committee
PSM	Process Safety Management
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
QW	Quench Water
RA	Responsible Authority
RCBA	Risk-Based Corrective Action
RoW	Right-of-way
S&W	Stone & Webster
SARA	<i>Species At Risk Act</i>
SCV	Submerged Combustion Vaporization
SHARQ	Eastern Petrochemicals Company
SHP	Super High Pressure
SIGTTO	Society of International Gas Tanker and Terminal Operators
SIL	Safety Integrity Levels
SIS	Safety Instrumentation Systems
SO ₂	Sulphur dioxide
SOEI	Sable Offshore Energy Inc.
SOEP	Sable Offshore Energy Project
TC	Transport Canada
TCH	Trans Canada Highway
TDG	Transportation of Dangerous Goods
TERMPOL	Technical Review Process of Marine Terminal Systems in Transshipment sites
the Council	Canadian Endangered Species Conservation Council
the Project	Keltic Petrochemical and LNG Facility Project
TSP	Total Suspended Particulates
TSS	Total Suspended Solids

UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VEC	Valued Environmental Component
VHP	Very High Pressure
VOC	Volatile Organic compound
WHIMIS	Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System
Z/N	Ziegler-Natta

LIST OF UNITS

%	Percent
°C	Degrees Celsius
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
µg/m ³	Micrograms per cubic metre
µm	Micrometer
µS	Microseimens
µS/cm	Microseimens per cubic metre
b	bar
bcm/a	Billion cubic metres per annum
BSCFD	Billion standard cubic feet per day
BTU	British Thermal Unit
BTU/ft ²	British Thermal Units per foot squared
BTU/hr	British Thermal Units per hour
C	Runoff coefficient
cm	Centimetre
cm/sec	Centimeters per second
dBA	Decibels
dbh	Diameter breast height
g/t	Grams per tonne
gal/hr	Gallons per hour
gal/yr	Gallons per year
ha	Hectare
hPa	Hectopascal
kg	Kilogram
kg/cm ²	Kilograms per square centimetre
kg/hr	Kilograms per hour
Kg/m ³	Kilograms per metres cubed
kg/s	Kilograms per second
km	Kilometre
km/h	Kilometres per hour
km ²	Square kilometre
kPa	Kilopascal
kTA	Kilotonnes per annum
kV	Kilovolts
kW	Kilowatt
kW/m ²	Kilowatt per square metre
L	Litre
L/min	Litres per minute
lb/hr	Pounds per hour
lb/mmBTU	Pounds per million British Thermal Units
LEL	Lower Explosive Limit
Leq	Equivalent sound level
m	Metre

m/s	Metres per second
m ²	Square metres
m ³	Cubic metres
m ³ /day	Cubic metres per day
m ³ /hr	Cubic metres per hour
Ma	Million years ago
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	Milligram per litre
mg/m ³	Milligrams per cubic metre
ml	Millilitre
mm	Millimetre
mm/d	Millimetres per day
mmcf/hr	Million cubic feet per hour
mmcf/yr	Million cubic feet per year
mmcf/d	Million cubic feet per day
mmt	Million metric tonnes
mol%	Mol percent
MW	Megawatt
MWe	Megawatts of electricity
N mi	Nautical miles
NM ³ /hr	Normal cubic metres per hour
oz	ounce
ppb	Parts per billion
ppm	Parts per million
ppt	Parts per thousand
psi	Pounds per square inch
psig	Pound per square inch gauge
t	Metric tonne
t/hr	Metric tonnes per hour
t/year	Metric tonnes per year
tcf	Trillion cubic feet
W/m ²	Watts per square metre

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview

This document presents the Environmental Assessment (EA) of the Keltic Petrochemical and LNG Facility Project (the Project). The Project is subject to a Class II Environmental Assessment under the Environmental Assessment Regulations made pursuant to the *Environment Act*, S.N.S. 1994-95, c.1. The scope for the assessment will include the entire Project and all its components as described below. The federal scope also extends to areas within 25 km of Country Island (due to federal concerns for migratory birds/species at risk). There are a number of federal and provincial laws and municipal by-laws which are applicable to the Project and which are considered in the EA. Finally, there are a number of guidelines, codes, or industry standards relevant to the Project, which are also considered as part of this EA.

Keltic Petrochemical Inc. (Keltic) proposes to construct and operate a Petrochemical and Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Facility in Goldboro, Nova Scotia (the Project). The Project components include a liquefied natural gas regasification facility, a petrochemical complex, a marginal wharf, a marine LNG terminal, LNG storage and an electric co-generation facility. The Project will be located adjacent to the existing Sable Island natural gas plant and the Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline (M&NP) in the Goldboro Industrial Park. The processing facilities in Goldboro will require approximately 300 hectares (ha) of land zoned for industrial use.

The marine terminal will allow the delivery of LNG and export of product. The co-generation plant will be fuelled by spent LNG with any remaining spent LNG injected into the existing M&NP pipeline in Goldboro. A freshwater supply system is required for the Project. This includes the construction of a reservoir at Meadow Lake, a wastewater collection and treatment system as well as other site infrastructure and maintenance facilities.

The petrochemical complex will convert liquids extracted from the Sable Offshore Energy Project (SOEP) at Goldboro combined with the liquids extracted from imported LNG to produce ethylene and propylene in order to manufacture polyethylene and polypropylene pellets. These pellets will be used to manufacture plastic products elsewhere in Canada and the United States of America (USA).

The purpose of the Project is to increase petrochemical production in North America. This will help to meet rising demand for polyethylene and polypropylene pellets and provide additional sources of natural gas to the Canadian and Northeastern USA markets in an effort to meet the growing demands for natural gas. The Project will require an investment of approximately \$5 billion which will be raised through private-sector investors.

The Proponent, Keltic is a Canadian registered corporation, committed to establishing a petrochemical complex, LNG importing facilities, and a co-generation plant at Goldboro, Guysborough County, Nova Scotia. The head office of Keltic is located in Halifax, Nova Scotia.

Keltic is the corporate leader in this undertaking which will include a variety of national and international investors; major international firms involved with the supply and delivery of LNG; and major international firms who hold licensed processes for the manufacturing of the various

plastic resins. In March 2006, Keltic entered into an agreement with Maple LNG where Maple will acquire 100% of the LNG portion of the Keltic Petrochemicals combined Petrochemical/LNG Project. Keltic has also entered into an agreement with Shaw Stone & Webster for them to act as the Integrating Contractor from the pre- FEED through to the operation phase of the Project.

It is Keltic's corporate commitment to provide an economical and sustainable complex in accordance to the highest level of environmental goals and principles. As the agreements between Keltic and the financial, licensors and petroleum firms are finalized a detailed environmental management system (EMS) will be developed for each component of the Project.

This project is expected to create several thousand direct jobs at the peak of project construction, and several hundred direct jobs at the various facilities during operation. Keltic expects that many other economic spin-off opportunities will be created in the area as a result of a world-scale LNG and petrochemical facility being built in Goldboro, Guysborough County. These direct jobs and economic spin-off opportunities will be created in a region of Nova Scotia that has an unemployment rate well above the provincial and national average. Furthermore, the population of Guysborough County has been in steady decline as a result of the employment situation; this trend is expected to be reversed with the establishment of this industry. This project will improve the overall employment rate from both a local and provincial perspective.

The Keltic Project is in keeping with the Provincial Energy Strategy which supports the convergence of supply at a single location to build the critical mass to enable the development of a world class petrochemical industry (Province of Nova Scotia, "Nova Scotia's Energy Strategy").

EA Objective

The focus of this EA Report is to identify potential Project-related environmental and socio-economic effects. Mitigation has been proposed to address potentially significant adverse environmental effects. Monitoring and follow-up measures have also been proposed, as required, to verify environmental effects predictions and the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

The primary objectives of the EA are to:

- Assist Keltic with environmental management planning for the Project.
- Using the requirements of the Nova Scotia Environmental Assessment Regulations, the Project Terms of Reference and Scoping Document, provide Government Agencies, stakeholders and the public with a complete and accurate assessment of the effects of the Project on the environment and human health, in a such a way to enable reviewers to draw conclusions regarding the initiative as they see fit.
- Obtain regulatory approval.

Public Consultation

Keltic is a major project, which will have substantial effects on many communities. Consultations were therefore extensive and inclusive. To date, several consultations have occurred. These consultations were designed to provide information about the proposed project, respond to questions and concerns the public might have, and gather technical information and input into impacts, mitigation, and monitoring that could be incorporated into the EA.

As part of the public consultation process, Keltic Petrochemicals established a Community Liaison Committee (CLC) in August of 2004. The committee was set up voluntarily by Keltic to involve and inform local communities in the project and will be the primary vehicle used for future consultations. The CLC has a two-fold mandate:

- to provide a forum for the representatives of the residents of Goldboro and surrounding communities to offer their input on the Keltic Project; and
- to provide a forum for representatives from Keltic to update the community, through the committee, on the various aspects of the Project.

The CLC meets regularly with Keltic and will continue to be used as a sounding board for any issues (such as safety, environmental concerns, employment, etc.) that arise. In addition to the liaison committee, Keltic will continue to liaise with the Guysborough County Regional Development Authority and the Guysborough Journal as a means of communicating any information. Keltic will also liaise actively with local emergency service providers, such as the RCMP, fire and emergency health response.

EA Methodology

The EA Report is written to reflect a project description that describes the full development of all proposed facilities required for the importation of LNG by ocean-going tankers, storage, and re-vaporization of the LNG and the construction and operation of a petrochemical complex to produce ethylene and propylene based on the use of the imported LNG as the primary feedstock, as well as all associated infrastructure requirements. Consideration has been given to all phases of the Project, including activities associated with construction, operation, maintenance, decommissioning/reclamation and unplanned events.

The methodology for the preparation of the EA Report was focused to provide:

- identification of the environmental and socio-economic components of greatest concern;
- consideration of the issues raised by stakeholders;
- incorporation of environmental management planning into the engineering design process;
- inclusion of cumulative effects in the overall EA process; and
- consideration of all regulatory requirements.

In order to attain the above the EA approach entailed:

- identification of temporal and spatial boundaries;
- selection and organization of Valued Environmental Component (VECs);
- evaluation of VEC interactions with the Project;
- the methods for prediction and evaluation of environmental effects; and
- the rationale for development of mitigation measures.

As defined in the Terms of Reference (Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour (NSEL), 2005), VECs “are interpreted as environmental; socio-economic; human health; reasonable enjoyment of life and property; and cultural, historical, archaeological, paleontological, and architectural features that may be impacted, whether positive or negative, by the proposed Project.”

For the Project, the VEC selection process involved the following steps and considerations:

- review of requirements of the Terms of Reference and scoping document;
- review of the baseline studies;
- review of Project works and activities;
- identification of public, stakeholder, and government concerns;
- consideration of potential Project-environment interactions; and
- identification of public, stakeholder, and government concerns.

The following is a summary of the VECs selected for the Project:

- Land Use;
- Aboriginal Use of Land and Resources;
- Population, Economic Conditions, Employment, Tourism;
- Residential Property;
- Recreational Opportunities and Aesthetics;
- Forestry;
- Fisheries, Aquaculture, and Harvesting;
- Ground Transportation;
- Human Health and Safety;
- Archaeological Resources;
- Air Quality and Climate;
- Noise;
- Surface Water (Quantity and Quality);
- Groundwater;

- Geology, Soil Quality;
- Freshwater Aquatic Species and Habitat;
- Wetlands;
- Marine Species and Habitat; and
- Flora, Fauna, and Terrestrial Habitat.

Potential effects were identified when a pathway or interaction between the Project and a VEC was established. Individual studies were then undertaken to focus on these potential effects. Based on collective knowledge and experience of the EA team and the individual studies and consultations, the following were determined for each predicted effect on a VEC:

- Nature (positive or negative);
- Magnitude;
- geographic extent;
- timing, duration and frequency;
- reversibility;
- ecological and socio/cultural context; and
- probability of occurrence (likelihood).

Positive environmental effects are also identified and explained.

Where an adverse environmental effect has been identified, mitigation has been proposed. Many adverse effects can be avoided through sound engineering design, and timing of project activities and implementation to the proposed environmental management plans.

The general approach taken is to reduce or eliminate the potential negative project-VEC interactions, if feasible. Where not possible, mitigation measures were incorporated into the design and planned implementation of the Project activities in order to eliminate or reduce potential adverse effects. In some instances, remediation and/or compensation may be required where an adverse effect would jeopardize the implementation of the Project.

The above approach results in the identification of Residual Effects – those environmental effects predicted to remain after the application of mitigation outlined in this EA. The EA considers the predicted residual effects for each Project phase (construction, operation, decommissioning, and post-decommissioning). In addition, residual environmental effects are also described for potential accidental events.

For adverse residual effects, the evaluation for the individual criteria was combined into an overall rating of significance:

- Major;
- Medium;
- Minor; and
- Minimal.

An adverse impact was considered “significant” where its residual effects were classified as major; while they were considered “not significant” where residual effects were classified as medium, minor, or minimal.

EA Conclusion

The EA concludes that under normal planned operations none of the predicted Residual Effects are Significant. The results of the assessment have been developed and presented in Table ES-1. The Table describes the predicted effect and the identified mitigation or avoidance measure which could reduce or eliminate the predicted effect.

The EA illustrates that relatively few of the predicted Residual Effects are Significant, with the following exceptions:

- Socio-economic effects – several significant benefits are expected in the local and regional economy
- Effects on terrestrial habitat – because of the Project footprint, a small loss of habitat for terrestrial birds and animals is unavoidable. Impacts are not expected to influence long term populations locally or regionally.
- Effects on fish habitat (Meadow Lake impoundment) – significant changes will occur in aquatic habitat due to creation of the Meadow Lake reservoir including both positive and negative effects. Impacts are not expected to affect fish populations locally or regionally.
- Effects on archaeological resources (Red Head Cemetery) – any disturbance of a cemetery will have significant impacts on the sensitivities of local inhabitants. Public consultation and monitoring during construction will ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.
- Effects on transportation – significant additional demands on local and regional roadways will result from Project development. Major upgrades in road infrastructure and careful scheduling of Project related traffic will reduce impacts to an acceptable level.

Through careful design and planning, combined with prudent application of proven mitigation measures, Keltic has identified and addressed all potential adverse environmental effects, and reduced the predicted impacts to a low level of significance.

Environmental management practice involving prevention and preparedness training is proposed to reduce the likelihood of unplanned (accidental) events. As well, effective emergency response programs will be developed should an event occur. The Emergency Preparedness planning will include the purchase of required equipment, the careful maintenance of equipment and infrastructure, and the frequent scheduling of training exercises and emergency response simulations. Emergency Preparedness Planning will be integrated into all phases of Project design, planning, and execution. The objective is to achieve a safety and emergency preparedness level higher than the industry average, and continuously to improve upon this standard.

TABLE ES-1 Summary: Effects, Mitigation, and Significance of Residual Effects

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Land Use					
Land Use - lands taken up by the Project and its components will remove the potential for mineral extraction from those areas.	None	X	X	A	Minimal
Aboriginal Use of Land and Resources					
Potential for impacts on Mi'kmaq Land Use (Hunting, Fishing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None Mi'kmaq sea urchin harvesting may be limited in the area of the LNG terminal and marginal wharf, but there are adjacent sea urchin areas that will allow continued harvesting. Sea urchin populations are currently severely depressed by disease. 	X	X	A	Minimal
Potential for impacts on Mi'kmaq Land Resources (Fish, Wildlife, Vegetation)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See mitigation for biophysical VECs 	X	X	A	Minimal
Socio-economic Environment					
Potential effects on population size	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operate construction camp Major components to be manufactured off-site and transported to the site for installation 	X		B (A)	Medium
Potential effects on Economic Structure (increased employment opportunities and tax revenues; spin-off effects)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purchasing and tendering policies to support local businesses 	X		B	Major
Potential effects on Labour Force (increased demand, employment and training opportunities)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advise unions of the occupations and skill levels required unions will implement, or facilitate the implementation, of training programs 	X		B	Medium
Potential effects on Income (increased average income)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purchasing and tendering policies to support local businesses 	X		B	Minor
Potential effects on Socio-Economic Planning (supportive of municipal strategic objectives)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None required 	X		B	Medium

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Potential effects on Tourism (loss of natural landscape character may reduce outdoor oriented tourism in site vicinity)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of dust suppressants if required Regular road cleaning at /near the construction access when required Establish information point/centre to inform on construction and future operation 	X		A	Minor
Potential effects on population	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None required 		X	B	Minor/Medium
Potential effects on Economic Structure (increased employment opportunities and tax revenues; spin-off effects)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purchasing and tendering policies examined to determine how to be organized to facilitate bidding by local businesses Where practical, tender packages broken into sizes that can be bid on by local firms 		X	B	Medium
Potential effects on Labour Force (increased demand, employment and training opportunities)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advise unions and local development agencies of the number, type of occupations and skill levels required Work with unions and local development agencies to advertise employment opportunities and organise training programs 		X	B	Medium
Potential effects on Income (increased average income)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purchasing and tendering policies to support local businesses 		X	B	Medium/Major
Potential effects on Socio-Economic Planning (supportive of municipal strategic objectives)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None required 		X	B	Medium/Major
Potential effects on Tourism (loss of natural landscape character may reduce outdoor oriented tourism in site vicinity; improved income and tax base likely to benefit tourism infrastructure in region)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish interpretative centre at site 		X	A (local) B (region)	Minor (adverse effects) and Minor to Medium (beneficial effects)
Residential Property Values					
The presence of approximately 3,000 workers and the expectation of long-term economic development at and near the site can be expected to increase demand for residential property and therefore potentially increase in property prices, in particular rental rates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operate construction camp Major components to be manufactured off-site and transported to the site for installation 	X		A	Minor

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Increase in the local population is expected to result in a greater demand for residential property in the area, which is likely to increase prices for residential property	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 		X	B	Medium
Recreational Opportunities and Aesthetics					
Effects on the local visual landscape character	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Operation Phase construction-specific mitigation Ensure good housekeeping Cleaning of road at and near site entrance when required During initial site clearing, maintain and protect tree and shrub buffer along site perimeter as visual screen Design “jogged” road access to prevent unobstructed views from public road into construction site 	X		A	Minor
Effects on receptors and receptor locations (recreational opportunities)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tree and shrub plantings at receptor locations to screen views Along Marine Drive, provision of interpretive opportunities with information on the facility and its operation 	X	X	A	Minor
Effects on the local visual landscape character	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tree and shrub planting along site perimeter as visual screen Use of colour schemes for stacks and higher buildings that support blending in with background Minimal night lighting Location of flare stacks at back of site 		X	A	Minor
Air Quality					
Emissions of gaseous pollutants from diesel powered construction equipment and marine vessels delivering equipment as well as from workers private vehicles.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintaining vehicles and equipment in good working condition Minimizing distance between transfer points. Maintaining speed restrictions on roads Promote car pooling 	X		A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Fugitive dust emissions from excavating and moving earth, construction equipment, and the concrete batch plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cleaning the area around stored materials Covering stored materials, if necessary Vacuum sweeping or flushing roads Applying dust suppressant Reducing working faces of material piles 	X		A	Minimal
Emissions from LNG tankers, gas vent stacks, SCVs, and LNG extraction plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring and maintenance of emission control system Monitoring of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) prior to and during operation Maximize efficiency of operations 		X	A	Minor
Emissions from the cogeneration facility simple cycle combustion turbine for power supply	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring and maintenance of emission control system Maximize efficiency of cogeneration plant 		X	A	Minor
Emissions from the Petrochemical facility (vents of plants for production of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE), low density polyethylene (LDPE), and high density polyethylene (HDPE))	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring and maintenance of emission control system Monitoring of VOCs prior to and during operation Maximize efficiency of operations 		X	A	Minor
Project contribution to greenhouse gas emissions (CO ₂)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integration of individual development component into a highly energy efficient production complex Power generation via gas fuelled co-generation plant 		X	A	Minor
Noise Impacts					
Noise emissions from site preparation (moving earth, blasting) and from construction of industrial components	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure machinery has working noise muffling equipment Conduct routine noise monitoring Restrict intensive activity to hours between 700 and 1900 Supply public with contact numbers in case of noise issues Give public prior notice of blasting Maintain treed buffer between worksite and public 	X		A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Noise emissions from pile driving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternative techniques will be used for pile driving such as vibratory pile-driving Recreational and commercial fishery representatives will be contacted to develop seasonal and daily schedules to minimize disruption of fisheries. 	X		A	Minimal
Noise emissions from plant operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A fully developed noise monitoring program will be implemented to ensure noise levels at nearest occupied properties do not exceed CMHC levels 		X	A	Minimal
Surface Water					
Effects on On-site Watercourses (erosion, sediment loading, storm-water discharges, spills)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Erosion and sediment control plan Buffer zone Storm-water management plan Spill prevention and response plan Designated fuelling and material storage site 	X		A	Minimal
Effects on off-site Watercourses through site (erosion, sediment loading, storm-water discharges, spills)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See above 	X		A	Minimal
Effects on Meadow Lake and Isaac's Harbour River through in-water works and dam and onshore works for dam and intake structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See above, plus Construction of cofferdam In-water works outside of spawning / fish migration season Use of silt curtains Rehabilitation of shoreline upon completion 	X		A	Minimal
Effects on- and off-site surface water quality as a result of discharges of Storm-water, Process water, Sanitary waste water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of storm-water management plan On-site waste water treatment plant to collect and treat all waste water streams Controlled discharge point(s) Monitoring of discharge quality 		X	A	Minor
Effects on Meadow Lake and Isaac's Harbour hydrology (water levels, fluctuations, flow) as a result of water withdrawal and impoundment of Meadow Lake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain minimal flow conditions in Isaac's Harbour River 		X	A	Minor

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Inter-watershed water transfer (resulting potentially in changes in hydrology and water quality)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discharge of collected storm-water within respective watershed Water withdrawn from Meadow Lake to be discharged to Isaac's Harbour / ocean (= ultimate receiver under baseline conditions) 		X	A	Minimal
Groundwater					
Siltation of dug and drilled wells and possible permanent decrease in well yield of drilled wells from blasting and vibrations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid blasting to the extent possible within 500m of residential wells Pre-blast well survey Remedial action as necessary to restore damaged wells and/or provide temporary potable water as needed 	X		A	Minimal
Water level reductions in dug wells as a result of trenching, site drainage, and large cuts or changes in surface topography	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring and remedial action as necessary to restore damaged wells and/or provide temporary potable water as needed 	X		A	Minimal
Water quality degradation from accidental release of fuel chemicals (equipment failure, handling accident)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proper fuel management Application of Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) Monitoring and local remedial action as necessary 	X		A	Minimal
Reduction of flow in streams and reduced discharge into wetlands during Meadow Lake dam construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess specific site hydro-geologic characteristics Dam construction method to provide for continuous minimal flow in Isaac's Harbour 	X		A	Minimal
Contamination of wells and/or onsite streams from acidic drainage in areas of known sulphide mineralization on site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoidance of mine tailings within the Project site 	X		A	Minimal
Degradation of groundwater and well water due to accidental spills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proper management of fuel, product and material storage and handling 		X	A	Minimal
Contamination of wells from acidic drainage in areas of known sulphide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; interaction unlikely as site construction surfaces will be stabilized and rehabilitated 		X	A	N/A
Reduction of flow in Isaac's Harbour River and reduced discharge into wetlands as a result of Meadow Lake dam operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess specific site hydro-geologic characteristics Dam operation to provide for continuous flow in Isaac's Harbour River Operation to provide for alternative water supply source during extended dry weather 		X	A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Flora, Fauna, and Terrestrial Habitat					
Habitat removal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimize construction envelope Rehabilitate all temporarily used sites 	X		A	Medium
Displacement / Loss of wildlife	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearing of site outside of breeding season of migratory birds Rehabilitate all temporarily used sites 	X		A	Medium
Dust impacts on vegetation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimize dust 	X		A	Minimal
Noise effects on wildlife (including blasting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimize noise during sensitive breeding period 	X		A	Minimal
Effects of dam at Meadow Lake on bird nesting sites	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearing outside of bird nesting period 	X		A	Minor
Effects of clearing around and flooding of Meadow Lake on habitat/vegetation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimize area cleared around new shoreline Use "good housekeeping" procedures regarding disposal of slash, litter, etc. 	X		A	Minor
Effects of air emissions on vegetation (deposition)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emission controls 		X	A	Minimal
Effects of water quality impairment effects on amphibians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Treatment of water to government standards prior to discharge Monitor of discharge quality 		X	A	Minimal
Lighting effects on migratory birds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use lightning that is known to not attract birds Minimize overhead wires and other obstacles that may cause collisions 		X	A	Minor
Disruption of migration corridors of mammals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mitigate temporary safe migration route if necessary 		X	A	Minor
Meadow Lake (effects of dam on bird nesting sites)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mitigate alternate nesting area if necessary 		X	A	Minor
Meadow Lake (effects of dam on invertebrate and amphibian populations)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 		X	B	Minimal
Forestry					
Site clearing at the Project site will have minimal effects on forestry, since the site is considered to have no merchantable timber.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	X	X	N/A	None

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Wetlands					
Spills of fuels, lubricants, and hydraulic fluids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of EMP with spill prevention and cleanup procedures 	X		A	Minor
Erosion, sedimentation, and damage caused by heavy machinery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of EMP with erosion and sediment control plan 	X		A	Minor
Filling, excavation, and other disturbance of wetlands that may alter hydrological integrity of the site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of a "no net loss" policy 	X		A	Minor
Effects on the wetlands of spills, excavation, sedimentation, and erosion from the proposed hydro corridor and LNG pipeline.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of a "no net loss" policy 	X		A	Minor
Reduction of wetland water quality resulting from discharges/runoff from project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of on-site storm-water management plan; Controlled discharges to the environment and effluent monitoring Implementation of EMP with spill prevention and cleanup procedures; 		X	A	Minor
Meadow Lake Impoundment (effect of water level fluctuation on nearby wetlands)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of a "no net loss" policy 		X	A	Minor
Fisheries, Aquaculture, and Harvesting					
Disruption of marine fishing activities from equipment transported to site and actual construction of wharf and terminal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; the marginal wharf is not a major fishing area. 	X		A	Minimal
Decrease of marine fishery-related earnings as a result of loss of fish habitat from construction of wharf and terminal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of habitat compensation in accordance with Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) requirements 	X		A	Minimal
Disturbance of freshwater fisheries (recreational fishing) as a result of disturbance and habitat alteration on-site, at Meadow Lake, and in Isaac's Harbour Creek	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of habitat compensation in accordance with DFO requirements 	X		A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Disturbance of fishing activities from LNG and cargo vessels in the bay	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fishermen will be notified of ship arrival so they can shift gill nets in the central part of the bay 		X	A	Minor
Impacts on navigation from the narrower entrance to Isaac's Harbour created by the marginal wharf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; the harbour narrows to a similar width 500m further into the harbour 		X	A	Minimal
Marine fish may be attracted by facility lights at night and may perceive noises at a distance from the operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring programs to be followed 		X	A	Minimal
Disturbance of freshwater fisheries (recreational fishing) as a result of the Meadow Lake Impoundment (water level fluctuations); low flow conditions in Isaac's Harbour River	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operation of fish ladder at Meadow Lake dam Operation of dam to provide for minimal flow in Isaac's Harbour River 		X	A	Minimal
Freshwater Species and Habitat					
Potential for harmful alteration, disruption or destruction (HADD) through site development and grading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of a "no net loss" policy; Erosion and sediment control plan Maintain 15m buffer zone Storm-water management plan Spill prevention and response plan Designated fueling and material storage site 	X		A	Minor
Potential for HADD due to in-water works and dam construction at Meadow Lake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of a "no net loss" policy; Erosion and sediment control plan Site and shoreline rehabilitation See mitigation for Water Quality 	X		A	Minor
Potential for fish habitat impairment due to waste water discharges	See mitigation for Surface Water Quality	X		A	Minor
Potential for fish habitat impairment due to dam operation; potential beneficial effects through lake expansion	Dam construction with fish passage		X	B	Medium
Marine Species and Habitat					
Destruction of fish habitat as a result of construction of wharf and marine terminal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; loss of lobster habitat is only 1.6% of Stormont Bay 	X		A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Disturbance of seabird (Roseate tern) nesting habitat on Country Island from vessel movement and noise (blasting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; Establishment and adherence to exclusion zone 	X		A	Minor
Disturbance of marine mammals from Project-related marine traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; Stormont Bay is not an important marine location 	X		A	Minimal
Disturbance of fish habitat from LNG and cargo vessels berthing at wharf and terminal; material handling, unloading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental management plan Spill response plan Effluent discharges to marine Environment to comply with regulatory standards Effluent quality monitoring 		X	A	Minimal
Marine habitat impairment as a result of re-suspension of contaminated sediments from propeller water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; large vessels to be berthed with support of tugs No sediment contamination identified 		X	A	Minimal
Marine habitat impairment as a result of wastewater discharges to Isaac's Harbour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discharges to be in accordance with regulatory standards 		X	A	Minimal
Seabirds disturbed by large ships passing close to Country Island (Roseate terns)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prescribed navigational route not to pass within the exclusion zone established for Country Island 		X	A	Minimal
Seabirds (Petrels) that nest on Country Island could be attracted to flares at night	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A 		X	A	Minimal
Disturbance of marine mammals through noise from project-related marine traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A; Stormont Bay is not an important marine mammal location 		X	A	Minimal
Agriculture					
There are no agricultural uses within the proposed construction envelope or the zone of influence of the Project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	X	X	N/A	None
Geological Impacts					
Structural/safety risks associated with former mine workings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed surveys and mapping of project site Filling and stabilization as appropriate 	X		A	Minimal
Risks of former mine workings regarding groundwater regime	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed surveys and mapping of project site Grouting where appropriate Storage of hazardous material will avoid old mine working sites which can act as preferential pathways should spills occur 	X		A	Minimal
Disturbance of tailings disposal sites	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoidance where possible Encapsulation if avoidance is not feasible 	X		A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Acid drainage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid excavation of relevant bedrock Avoid changes to drainage and groundwater regime Testing for acid drainage potential 	X		A	Minimal
Archaeological Resources					
Disturbance of land at the former Red Head Cemetery by marginal wharf and marine facility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public consultation with Lincolnville Black community. Monitored backhoe excavation 	X		A	Medium
Erosion of sites at Sculpin Cove (1-5) by marginal wharf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently Investigation if they are subject to erosion 	X		A	Minor
Erosion of sites on Hurricane Island by marginal wharf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently Investigation if they are subject to erosion 	X		A	Minor
Impact on McMillan Mine by LNG storage and access road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring during disturbance 	X		A	Minor
Impact on Dung Cove site by WWTP building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently Investigation if it is subject to impact 	X		A	Minor
Impact on Hattie's Belt by LNG storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently Investigation if it is subject to impact 	X		A	Minor
Potential impact on South Mulgrave Lead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring during disturbance 	X		A	Minor
Potential impact to sites at Meadow Lake as a result of construction of dam and resulting submergence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently. This area has not been surveyed 	X		A	Unknown
Continued erosion of sites at Sculpin Cove (1-5) by potential rise in sea level and wakes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently. Investigation if they are subject to erosion. 		X	A	Unknown
Continued erosion of sites on Hurricane Island by potential rise in sea level and wakes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently. Investigation if they are subject to erosion. 		X	A	Unknown
Potential impact to sites at Meadow Lake by continued submergence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None currently. This area has not been surveyed 		X	A	Unknown
Transportation Impacts					
Increase in collision rates due to construction-related vehicular traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flagman at construction site entrance, if required Along main transport route, adjustment of travel speed, signage, intersection controls, sight lines 	X		A	Medium

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Increase in collision rates due to operation-related vehicular traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Controlled site entrance, if required Along main transport route, adjustment of travel speed, signage, intersection controls, sight lines 		X	A	Medium
Human Health and Safety					
Particulate generation may pose safety concerns regarding former mine workings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust control program Worker health and safety program Avoid mine workings and tailings areas to the extent possible 	X		A	Minor
Potential disturbance of mine tailings from construction of waterfront facilities and pipelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	X		P	Minimal
Air emissions from vessel transportation (delivery of construction materials and equipment)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	X		A	Minimal
Dust generation from concrete production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust control program 	X		A	Minimal
Potential for runoff from site preparation debris (waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Erosion control program 	X		A	Minimal
Air emissions from vehicular traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust control program worker health and safety program 	X		A	Minor
Potential spills from equipment and materials storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spill control plan 	X		A	Minimal
Air emissions from marine vessel traffic unloading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 		X	A	Minimal
Air emissions from unloading LNG vessels to tanks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spill control plan 		X	A	Minimal
Air emissions from vaporization/regassification of LNG to natural gas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 		X	A	Minimal
Air emissions and potential spills from chemical manufacturing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spill control plan 		X	A	Minimal

VEC	Mitigation	Construction Phase	Operation Phase	Type (A-adverse; B-beneficial)	Significance of Residual Effect
Air emissions from power generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 		X	A	Minimal
Waste effluent discharges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure discharges are in compliance with all regulatory requirements 		X	A	Minor
Air emissions from vehicular traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unlikely to impact the public due to distance to receptors 		X	A	Minimal
Potential spills from material transfer and storage (other than LNG)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spill control plan 		X	A	Minimal
Potential remobilization of mine tailings from decommissioning the waterfront facilities and pipelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decommissioning should retain cover for mine tailings 		X	A	Minimal
Potential for air emissions, spills from decommissioning of Petrochemical facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust control plan Spill control plan 		X	A	Minimal
Particulate generation from reclamation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust Control Program Worker Health and Safety Program Avoid mine tailings areas to the extent possible 		X	A	Minor