Nova Scotia Mineral Resources Development Fund (MRDF)

Prospecting Grant Evaluation Form

Reviewer name:_____

Review date:_____

Reviewer signature:_____

Project Application #

Property Location:	Max. Score	Review Score
Evaluation Criteria		
A "Fail" rating in any of these three categories rejects the application		
Is the checklist complete (checked on each item and signed and dated on the bottom)?		
Are all items marked "yes" on the checklist included with the application?		
The proponent must demonstrate that they have access to the expertise required to complete the project.		
The applicant and principal director(s) have fulfilled all commitments for any previous grants from the MRDF on that property in the previous fiscal year.	Pass Or Fail	
The applicant and/ or the right holder has adhered to the <i>Mineral Resources Act</i> and <i>Regulations</i> and all other applicable government acts and regulations. The applicant must have promptly rectified any previously violations that have been brought to the licensee's attention by the Registry.	Pass or Fail	
The proposal, and the accompanying maps, should be organized, clear and concise. It should be supported by appropriate documents (e.g. maps, agreements, signed checklist, etc.).	25	
The exploration plan must be well researched, achievable, and based on an idea or an approach that is worth testing. Proposals should explain how the approach will build on any previous work. Please provide detailed exploration history of applicable commodity and include relevant assessment report references.	55	
	Evaluation Criteria A "Fail" rating in any of these three categories rejects the application Is the checklist complete (checked on each item and signed and dated on the bottom)? Are all items marked "yes" on the checklist included with the application? The proponent must demonstrate that they have access to the expertise required to complete the project. The applicant and principal director(s) have fulfilled all commitments for any previous grants from the MRDF on that property in the previous fiscal year. The applicant and/ or the right holder has adhered to the Mineral Resources Act and Regulations. The applicant must have promptly rectified any previously violations that have been brought to the licensee's attention by the Registry. The proposal, and the accompanying maps, should be organized, clear and concise. It should be supported by appropriate documents (e.g. maps, agreements, signed checklist, etc.). The exploration plan must be well researched, achievable, and based on an idea or an approach that is worth testing. Proposals should explain how the approach will build on any previous work. Please provide detailed exploration history of applicable	Evaluation CriteriaScoreA "Fail" rating in any of these three categories rejects the applicationImage: Construct of the set three categories rejects the applicationIs the checklist complete (checked on each item and signed and dated on the bottom)?Image: Construct of the set three categories rejects the application?Are all items marked "yes" on the checklist included with the application?Image: Construct of the set the project of the set the project.The proponent must demonstrate that they have access to the expertise required to complete the project.Pass Or FailThe applicant and principal director(s) have fulfilled all commitments for any previous grants from the MRDF on that property in the previous fiscal year.Pass Or FailThe applicant and/ or the right holder has adhered to the Mineral Resources Act and Regulations and all other applicable government acts and regulations. The applicant must have promptly rectified any previously violations that have been brought to the licensee's attention by the Registry.25The proposal, and the accompanying maps, should be organized, clear and concise. It should be supported by appropriate documents (e.g. maps, agreements, signed checklist, etc.).25The exploration plan must be well researched, achievable, and based on an idea or an approach that is worth testing. Proposals should explain how the approach will build on any previous work. Please provide detailed exploration history of applicable55

Potential for success?	Does the proposed work have the potential to advance the project forward?	20	
		100	

Comments: